

Doubly Extended Lie Groups - Curvature, Holonomy and Parallel Spinors

Helga Baum and Ines Kath*

Abstract

In the present paper we study the geometry of doubly extended Lie groups with their natural biinvariant metric. We describe the curvature, the holonomy and the space of parallel spinors. This is completely done for all simply connected groups with biinvariant metric of Lorentzian signature $(1, n-1)$, of signature $(2, n-2)$ and of signature (p, q) , where $p+q \leq 6$. Furthermore, some special series with higher signature are discussed.

Contents

1	Introduction	1
2	Lie groups with biinvariant metric	3
3	Spin holonomy of double extensions	6
4	Double extensions by simple Lie groups	9
5	Double extensions by 1-dimensional Lie groups	12
6	Indecomposable simply connected Lie groups with biinvariant Lorentzian metric	14
7	Indecomposable simply connected Lie groups with biinvariant metric of signature $(2, n-2)$	15
8	Indecomposable simply connected metric Lie groups of dimension $n \leq 6$	19
9	Solvable Lie groups with maximal isotropic centre	21

1 Introduction

The present paper is a step in a project which is aimed at a better understanding of the following problems:

*The second author was supported by the Max-Planck-Institut für Mathematik in Bonn, Germany.
2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 53C30, 53C27, 53C29, 53C50. Secondary 22E15.

- 1 Which pseudo-Riemannian manifolds admit parallel spinors?
- 2 Describe (indefinite) metrics with indecomposable but non-irreducible holonomy representations.
- 3 Describe (pseudo-Riemannian) homogeneous spaces with non semi-simple isometry group.

These problems are related to each other but are also of independent interest. The relation between parallel spinors and the holonomy representation is described by the well known holonomy principle, which states that the space of parallel spinors is isomorphic to the space of invariant elements of the holonomy representation on the spinor module. Homogeneous spaces with non semi-simple isometry group are an important class of examples of manifolds with indecomposable but non-irreducible holonomy representation.

Parallel spinor fields occur in several contexts. They are special solutions of the Dirac and the twistor equation of a semi-Riemannian spin manifold ([BFGK91]), they occur as a technical tool in constructing other kinds of special spinor fields, such as geometric Killing spinors (see [Bär93], [Bau89], [Kat99], [Boh00]) and they are of interest in supergravity and string theories (e.g. [FO99a], [FO99b], [BFOHP01]). Whereas in the pure Riemannian case the situation is quite well understood ([Wan89], ([Wan93], [MS00]) and the study is extended to other connections than the Levi-Civita connection ([Mor96], [Buc00b], [Buc00a], [FI01]) the question of the existence of parallel spinor fields even for the Levi-Civita connection in the Lorentzian and the general pseudo-Riemannian case is widely open and the occurring geometries are known only for irreducible manifolds ([BK99]). The difficulty of the problem in the indefinite case is caused by the existence of pseudo-Riemannian manifolds with indecomposable but non-irreducible holonomy representations, which do not occur in the definite case. Contrary to the irreducible case these representations are not classified and the study of metrics realizing such holonomy representations is only in the beginning (see e.g. [BBI93], [FO99a], [Ike96], [Ike99], [Bou00]). Already first examples show that parallel spinors are to expect mainly in the case of indecomposable but non-irreducible holonomy representations. This is seen already in the case of manifolds with transitive isometry group. Whereas there are no non-flat homogeneous Riemannian manifolds with parallel spinors, such spinors occur on all Lorentzian symmetric spaces with solvable transvection group ([Bau00]). These Lorentzian symmetric spaces have non-irreducible abelian holonomy representation ([CW70], [Ast73], [Bie92]).

In several papers local normal forms of pseudo-Riemannian metrics with parallel spinors are constructed ([Bry00], [Kat00], [Lei01]). We are mainly interested in *complete* examples. For that reason we are studying in the present paper the simplest case of complete pseudo-Riemannian spaces, the Lie groups with biinvariant pseudo-Riemannian metrics, calculate their holonomy, their curvature properties and the space of parallel spinors. Such groups are products of three types of Lie groups with biinvariant metrics: the semi-simple ones with Killing form, the abelian groups with flat metrics and the doubly extended Lie groups. Since parallel spinors occur only on scalar flat pseudo-Riemannian manifolds with 2-step nilpotent Ricci tensor, our attention was led to the third case, to that of doubly extended Lie groups.

In particular we study the curvature, the holonomy and the existence of parallel spinors for the following classes of Lie groups:

1. The cotangent bundle T^*H of a simple Lie group H with its natural biinvariant metrics of split signature (Theorem 4.2).
2. Double extensions of abelian Lie groups by simple ones (Theorem 4.1, Examples 4.1, 4.2, 4.3).
3. Double extensions of abelian Lie groups by 1-dimensional ones (Theorem 5.1),
4. Special solvable Lie groups with maximal isotropic center (Theorem 9.1).

In particular, we classify all simply-connected Lie groups with biinvariant metric and describe its parallel spinors up to dimension $n \leq 6$, for all dimensions n in case of Lorentzian signature $(1, n-1)$ and in case of signature $(2, n-2)$ (Table 1 - Table 6).

2 Lie groups with biinvariant metric

In this chapter we describe indecomposable Lie groups with biinvariant indefinite metrics, their curvature and holonomy. Let (D, d) be a Lie group with biinvariant semi-Riemannian metric d of signature (p, q) , let $\mathfrak{d}$ be the Lie algebra of D and $B_{\mathfrak{d}}$ its Killing form. For the Levi-Civita connection and the curvature tensor of (D, d) one has the well-known formulas

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_X Y &= \frac{1}{2}[X, Y] \\ R(X, Y)Z &= -\frac{1}{4}[[X, Y], Z] \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

$$\text{Ric}|_{\mathfrak{d} \times \mathfrak{d}} = -\frac{1}{4}B_{\mathfrak{d}} \tag{2}$$

for all left invariant vector fields $X, Y, Z \in \mathfrak{d}$. Let us denote by $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathfrak{d}}$ the ad-invariant scalar product of index (p, q) defined by the metric d on the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{d}$. For the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{hol}(D, d)$ of the holonomy group of (D, d) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{hol}(D, d) &= \text{span}\{R(X, Y) \mid X, Y \in \mathfrak{d}\} \\ &= \text{ad}([\mathfrak{d}, \mathfrak{d}]) \subset \mathfrak{so}(\mathfrak{d}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathfrak{d}}). \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

To shorten the notation we will call a Lie algebra with ad-invariant scalar product a *metric Lie algebra* and the corresponding Lie groups (equipped with the induced biinvariant metric) a *metric Lie group*.

The standard example for a metric Lie algebra is a semi-simple Lie algebra $\mathfrak{d}$ with the metric $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathfrak{d}} = B_{\mathfrak{d}}$ given by the Killing form. The corresponding Lie groups (D, d) are semi-Riemannian Einstein spaces with negative scalar curvature $R = -\frac{1}{4} \cdot \dim \mathfrak{d}$. In particular, in this case there are no parallel spinors. The other extremal case, an abelian Lie group $D = \mathbb{T}^r \times \mathbb{R}^s$ with invariant semi-Riemannian metric is flat and its universal covering has the maximal possible number of linearly independent parallel spinors. Let us now consider a third class of examples; the double extensions of Lie groups.

Let $(\mathfrak{g}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathfrak{g}})$ be a metric Lie algebra, $(\mathfrak{h}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathfrak{h}})$ a Lie algebra with ad-invariant symmetric bilinear form (which can degenerate) and let $\pi : \mathfrak{h} \rightarrow \text{Der}_{\mathfrak{a}}(\mathfrak{g}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathfrak{g}})$ be a Lie algebra homomorphism from $\mathfrak{h}$ into the Lie algebra of all antisymmetric derivations of $\mathfrak{g}$. Furthermore, we denote by $\beta : \Lambda^2 \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{h}^*$ the 2-cocycle

$$\beta(X, Y)(H) := \langle \pi(H)X, Y \rangle_{\mathfrak{g}} \quad , \quad X, Y \in \mathfrak{g}, H \in \mathfrak{h}$$

and by $\text{ad}_{\mathfrak{h}}^*(H) : \mathfrak{h}^* \rightarrow \mathfrak{h}^*$ the coadjoint representation of $\mathfrak{h}$.

Now we define a Lie algebra and a metric structure on the vector space $\mathfrak{d} := \mathfrak{h}^* \oplus \mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{h}$. We will denote the resulting metric Lie algebra by $\mathfrak{d}_\pi(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h})$.

The commutator is given by

$$[(\alpha, X, H), (\tilde{\alpha}, \tilde{X}, \tilde{H})]_{\mathfrak{d}_\pi} := (\beta(X, \tilde{X}) + \text{ad}_{\mathfrak{h}}^*(H)\tilde{\alpha} - \text{ad}_{\mathfrak{h}}^*(\tilde{H})\alpha, [X, \tilde{X}]_{\mathfrak{g}} + \pi(H)\tilde{X} - \pi(\tilde{H})X, [H, \tilde{H}]_{\mathfrak{h}}). \quad (4)$$

The metric is defined by

$$\langle (\alpha, X, H), (\tilde{\alpha}, \tilde{X}, \tilde{H}) \rangle_{\mathfrak{d}_\pi} := \langle H, \tilde{H} \rangle_{\mathfrak{h}} + \langle X, \tilde{X} \rangle_{\mathfrak{g}} + \alpha(\tilde{H}) + \tilde{\alpha}(H). \quad (5)$$

The bilinear form $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathfrak{d}_\pi}$ is non-degenerate, $\text{ad}_{\mathfrak{d}}$ -invariant and of signature

$$\text{signature}(\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathfrak{d}_\pi}) = \text{signature}(\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathfrak{g}}) + (\dim \mathfrak{h}, \dim \mathfrak{h}).$$

The Lie algebra $\mathfrak{d}_\pi(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h})$ is called double extension of $\mathfrak{g}$ with respect to π . It can be viewed as extension of the semi-direct product of $\mathfrak{g} \rtimes_{\pi} \mathfrak{h}$ by the abelian Lie algebra $\mathfrak{h}^*$. Let D_π be the simply connected Lie group with Lie algebra $\mathfrak{d}_\pi(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h})$. We will say that a metric Lie algebra is indecomposable if it is not the orthogonal sum of two non-trivial metric Lie algebras. A metric Lie group is called indecomposable if its Lie algebra is indecomposable.

Theorem 2.1 (Medina/Revoy) *Let $(\mathfrak{d}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathfrak{d}})$ be an indecomposable metric Lie algebra. Then we are in one of the following cases:*

1. $\mathfrak{d}$ is simple
2. $\mathfrak{d}$ is 1-dimensional
3. $\mathfrak{d}$ is a double extension $\mathfrak{d} = \mathfrak{d}_\pi(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h})$ as defined above, where $\mathfrak{h}$ is 1-dimensional or simple.

Proof. See [MR85], [FS87], [Bor97]. □

Since we can restrict ourselves to indecomposable Lie algebras, we can exclude the cases where $\pi \equiv 0$ and $\mathfrak{g} \neq 0$, where all derivations $\pi(\mathfrak{h}) \subset \text{Der}_{\mathfrak{a}}(\mathfrak{g}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathfrak{g}})$ are inner ones and where $\mathfrak{g}$ has a factor $\mathfrak{p}$ with $H^1(\mathfrak{p}, \mathbb{R}) = H^2(\mathfrak{p}, \mathbb{R}) = 0$ due to the following proposition:

Proposition 2.1 [FOS95]

1. If $\pi \equiv 0$, then the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{d}_\pi(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h})$ decomposes into an orthogonal direct sum of the Lie algebras $\mathfrak{g}$ and $\mathfrak{h}^* \rtimes_{\text{ad}^*} \mathfrak{h}$.
2. If $\pi : \mathfrak{h} \rightarrow \text{Der}_{\mathfrak{a}}(\mathfrak{g}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathfrak{g}})$ is given by inner derivations, i.e. if there is a homomorphism $\varphi : \mathfrak{h} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ such that

$$\pi(H) = \text{ad}_{\mathfrak{g}}\varphi(H),$$

then there exists an isometric Lie algebra isomorphism

$$\Phi : \mathfrak{d}_\pi(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h}) \longrightarrow \mathfrak{g} \times (\mathfrak{h}^* \rtimes_{\text{ad}_{\mathfrak{h}}^*} \mathfrak{h})$$

where the metric on the image is given by the product of the metrics $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathfrak{g}}$ and

$$\langle (\alpha, H), (\tilde{\alpha}, \tilde{H}) \rangle_{\mathfrak{h}^* \rtimes_{\text{ad}_{\mathfrak{h}}^*} \mathfrak{h}} = \langle H, \tilde{H} \rangle_{\mathfrak{h}} + \langle \varphi(H), \varphi(\tilde{H}) \rangle_{\mathfrak{g}} + \alpha(\tilde{H}) + \tilde{\alpha}(H)$$

3. If $\mathfrak{g}$ has a factor $\mathfrak{p}$ with $H^1(\mathfrak{p}, \mathbb{R}) = H^2(\mathfrak{p}, \mathbb{R}) = 0$, then its double extension $\mathfrak{d}_\pi(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h})$ is decomposable.

Proposition 2.2 *The Ricci curvature Ric^{D_π} and the scalar curvature R^{D_π} of the doubly extended Lie group D_π satisfy*

$$\begin{aligned} a) \quad & \text{Ric}^{D_\pi}|_{\mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{g}} = \text{Ric}^G|_{\mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{g}} = -\frac{1}{4}B_{\mathfrak{g}} \\ & \text{Ric}^{D_\pi}|_{\mathfrak{h}^* \times \mathfrak{d}_\pi} = 0 \\ & \text{Ric}^{D_\pi}|_{\mathfrak{h} \times \mathfrak{h}} = \text{tr}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\pi(\cdot) \circ \pi(\cdot)) + 2B_{\mathfrak{h}} \\ & \text{Ric}^{D_\pi}(X, H) = \text{tr}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\text{ad}_{\mathfrak{g}}(X) \circ \pi(H)) \quad \forall X \in \mathfrak{g}, H \in \mathfrak{h} \\ b) \quad & R^{D_\pi} = R^G = -\text{tr}_{\mathfrak{g}}B_{\mathfrak{g}}. \end{aligned}$$

In particular, we have

1. The Ricci tensor of D_π is 2-step nilpotent if and only if the Ricci tensor of G is 2-step nilpotent and

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j=1}^n \varepsilon_j B_{\mathfrak{g}}(X, X_j) \circ \text{tr}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\text{ad}_{\mathfrak{g}}(X_j) \circ \pi(H)) &= 0 \\ \sum_{j=1}^n \varepsilon_j \text{tr}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\text{ad}_{\mathfrak{g}}(X_j) \circ \pi(H)) \cdot \text{tr}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\text{ad}_{\mathfrak{g}}(X_j) \circ \pi(\tilde{H})) &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

for all $H, \tilde{H} \in \mathfrak{h}$ and $X \in \mathfrak{g}$, where $X_1, \dots, X_n$ is an ON-basis of $(\mathfrak{g}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathfrak{g}})$.

2. The Lie group D_π is Ricci-flat if and only if

$$\begin{aligned} B_{\mathfrak{g}} &= 0 \\ \text{tr}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\text{ad}_{\mathfrak{g}}(X) \circ \pi(H)) &= 0 \\ \text{tr}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\pi(H) \circ \pi(\tilde{H})) + 2B_{\mathfrak{h}}(H, \tilde{H}) &= 0 \\ \text{for all } X \in \mathfrak{g} \text{ and } H, \tilde{H} \in \mathfrak{h}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. A direct calculation using formulas (2), (4) and (5). □

Using formulas (3) and (4) we obtain the holonomy algebra of the doubly extended Lie group D_π (with its biinvariant pseudo-Riemannian metric).

Proposition 2.3 *The holonomy algebra $\mathfrak{hol}(D_\pi)$ of the doubly extended Lie group D_π is spanned by the following elements of $\mathfrak{so}(\mathfrak{d}_\pi(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h}))$*

$$\begin{aligned} & \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \beta(Y, \cdot) & -\text{ad}_{\mathfrak{h}}(\cdot)^* \beta(Y_1, Y_2) \\ 0 & \text{ad}_{\mathfrak{g}} Y & -\pi(\cdot) Y \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} \text{ad}_{\mathfrak{h}}(H)^* & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \pi(H) & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \text{ad}_{\mathfrak{h}}(H) \end{pmatrix}, \\ & \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & \text{ad}_{\mathfrak{h}}(\cdot)^* \alpha \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \beta(X, \cdot) & 0 \\ 0 & \text{ad}_{\mathfrak{g}}(X) & -\pi(\cdot) X \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \end{aligned}$$

where $Y = [Y_1, Y_2]_{\mathfrak{g}} \in [\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}]_{\mathfrak{g}}$, $H \in [\mathfrak{h}, \mathfrak{h}]_{\mathfrak{h}}$, $\alpha \in \text{ad}_{\mathfrak{h}}^*(\mathfrak{h})(\mathfrak{h}^*)$, $X \in \pi(\mathfrak{h})_{\mathfrak{g}}$ (all matrices are taken with respect to the decomposition $\mathfrak{d}_\pi = \mathfrak{h}^* \oplus \mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{h}$).

3 Spin holonomy of double extensions

Let (V, Φ) be an n -dimensional real vector space with scalar product Φ of signature (r, s) . We denote by $\text{Cliff}(V, \Phi)$ the Clifford algebra generated by (V, Φ) with the relations

$$x \cdot y + y \cdot x = -2\Phi(x, y)\mathbf{1} \quad , \quad x, y \in V \quad .$$

If $n = 2m$, then the complexified Clifford algebra $\text{Cliff}^{\mathbb{C}}(V, \Phi)$ is isomorphic to the algebra $\mathbb{C}(2^m)$ of all complex $2^m \times 2^m$ -matrices. In case $n = 2m + 1$ $\text{Cliff}^{\mathbb{C}}(V, \Phi)$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{C}(2^m) \oplus \mathbb{C}(2^m)$. For concrete calculations we will use the following realization of these isomorphisms. Let $e_1, \dots, e_n$ be an orthonormal basis of (V, Φ) , let denote by κ_j the sign $\kappa_j = \Phi(e_j, e_j) = \pm 1$ and by τ_j the number

$$\tau_j = \begin{cases} i & \text{if } \kappa_j = \Phi(e_j, e_j) = -1 \\ 1 & \text{if } \kappa_j = \Phi(e_j, e_j) = 1 \end{cases} \quad .$$

Furthermore, let

$$U = \begin{pmatrix} i & 0 \\ 0 & -i \end{pmatrix} \quad , \quad V = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad , \quad E = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad , \quad T = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad .$$

Then in case $n = 2m$ an isomorphism

$$\Phi_{2m} : \text{Cliff}^{\mathbb{C}}(V, \Phi) \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}(2^m)$$

is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_{2m}(e_{2j-1}) &= \tau_{2j-1} E \otimes \dots \otimes E \otimes U \otimes T \otimes \dots \otimes T \\ \Phi_{2m}(e_{2j}) &= \tau_{2j} E \otimes \dots \otimes E \otimes V \otimes \underbrace{T \otimes \dots \otimes T}_{j-1} \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

In case $n = 2m + 1$ we use the isomorphism

$$\Phi_{2m+1} : \text{Cliff}^{\mathbb{C}}(V, \Phi) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}(2^m) \oplus \mathbb{C}(2^m)$$

given by

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_{2m+1}(e_{2j}) &= (\Phi_{2m}(e_j), \Phi_{2m}(e_j)) \quad j = 1, \dots, 2m \\ \Phi_{2m+1}(e_n) &= \tau_n(iT \otimes \dots \otimes T, -iT \otimes \dots \otimes T) \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

Let $\text{Spin}(V, \Phi) \subset \text{Cliff}(V, \Phi)$ be the Spin group of (V, Φ) . Let as above $m = [n/2]$. We denote by $\Delta_{r,s}$ the spinor representation of $\text{Spin}(V, \Phi)$ defined by

$$\rho_{r,s} := \hat{\Phi}_{r+s}|_{\text{Spin}(V, \Phi)} : \text{Spin}(V, \Phi) \rightarrow \text{GL}(2^m, \mathbb{C})$$

where $\hat{\Phi}_{2m} := \Phi_{2m}$ and $\hat{\Phi}_{2m+1} = pr_1 \circ \Phi_{2m+1}$. Let us denote by $u(\varepsilon) \in \mathbb{C}^2$ the vector $u(\varepsilon) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ -\varepsilon i \end{pmatrix}$, $\varepsilon = \pm 1$, and by

$$u(\varepsilon_m, \dots, \varepsilon_1) = u(\varepsilon_m) \otimes \dots \otimes u(\varepsilon_1) \quad , \quad \varepsilon_j = \pm 1$$

the orthonormal basis of $\Delta_{r,s} = \mathbb{C}^{2^m}$ with respect to the standard scalar product of $\mathbb{C}^{2^m}$. The Lie algebra $\mathfrak{spin}(V, \Phi)$ of $\text{Spin}(V, \Phi)$ is the subalgebra

$$\mathfrak{spin}(V, \Phi) = \text{span}\{x \cdot y \mid x, y \in V\} \subset \text{Cliff}(V, \Phi) .$$

Let us denote by

$$\lambda : \text{Spin}(V, \Phi) \rightarrow \text{SO}(V, \Phi)$$

the 2-fold covering of the special orthogonal group defining the Spin group. Then the differential

$$\lambda_* : \mathfrak{spin}(V, \Phi) \rightarrow \mathfrak{so}(V, \Phi)$$

satisfies

$$\lambda_*^{-1}(A) = \frac{1}{4} \sum_{i=1}^n \kappa_i e_i \cdot A(e_i) , \quad A \in \mathfrak{so}(V, \Phi) . \quad (8)$$

Now, let $\mathfrak{d} := \mathfrak{d}_\pi(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h})$ be a doubly extended Lie algebra as defined in Section 2, where $(\mathfrak{g}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathfrak{g}})$ is n -dimensional of signature (p, q) and $\dim \mathfrak{h} = r$. We choose a basis

$$\underbrace{(\alpha_r, \dots, \alpha_1)}_{\in \mathfrak{h}^*}, \underbrace{(X_1, \dots, X_n)}_{\in \mathfrak{g}}, \underbrace{(H_1, \dots, H_r)}_{\in \mathfrak{h}}$$

such that

$$\begin{aligned} \langle X_i, X_j \rangle_{\mathfrak{g}} &= \kappa_j \delta_{ij} & \kappa_j &= \begin{cases} -1 & 1 \leq j \leq p \\ 1 & p < j \leq p+q \end{cases} \\ \langle H_i, H_j \rangle_{\mathfrak{h}} &= c_j \delta_{ij} \quad , \quad c_j \in \{\pm 1, 0\} \\ \alpha_i(H_j) &= \delta_{ij} . \end{aligned}$$

Using the orthonormal basis

$$e_{2i-1} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left(H_i - \left(\frac{c_i}{2} + 1 \right) \alpha_i \right), \quad e_{2i} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left(H_i - \left(\frac{c_i}{2} - 1 \right) \alpha_i \right), \quad i = 1, \dots, r$$

of $\mathfrak{h}^* \oplus \mathfrak{h}$ and $X_1, \dots, X_n$ of $\mathfrak{g}$ we obtain from (8)

$$\lambda_*^{-1}(A) = \frac{1}{4} \sum_{i=1}^r \left(H_i A(\alpha_i) + \alpha_i A(H_i) - c_i \alpha_i A(\alpha_i) \right) + \frac{1}{4} \sum_{j=1}^n \kappa_j X_j \cdot A(X_j) \quad (9)$$

for all $A \in \mathfrak{so}(\mathfrak{d})$. Furthermore, from the formulas (6) and (7) we obtain for the Clifford multiplication in the spinor modul $\Delta_{p+r, q+r} = \Delta_{p, q} \otimes \Delta_{r, r}$

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_j \cdot (u \otimes u(\varepsilon_r, \dots, \varepsilon_1)) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (-1)^{j-1} \varepsilon_1 \cdot \dots \cdot \varepsilon_{j-1} (\varepsilon_j + 1) \\ &\quad \cdot u \otimes u(\varepsilon_r, \dots, -\varepsilon_j, \dots, \varepsilon_1) \\ H_j \cdot (u \otimes u(\varepsilon_r, \dots, \varepsilon_1)) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (-1)^{j-1} \varepsilon_1 \cdot \dots \cdot \varepsilon_{j-1} ((\varepsilon_j - 1) + \frac{c_j}{2} (\varepsilon_j + 1)) \\ &\quad \cdot u \otimes u(\varepsilon_r, \dots, -\varepsilon_j, \dots, \varepsilon_1) \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

$$X \cdot (u \otimes u(\varepsilon_r, \dots, \varepsilon_1)) = (-1)^r \varepsilon_1 \cdot \dots \cdot \varepsilon_r (X \cdot u) \otimes u(\varepsilon_r, \dots, \varepsilon_1)$$

where $X \in \mathfrak{g}, u \in \Delta_{p,q}, j = 1, \dots, r$.

Let us denote by

$$\widetilde{\mathfrak{hol}}(D_\pi) := \lambda_*^{-1}(\mathfrak{hol}(D_\pi)) \in \mathfrak{spin}(\mathfrak{d})$$

the holonomy algebra of the group D_π represented in the Clifford algebra. Furthermore, we denote by $\mathcal{P}_{D_\pi}$ the space of parallel spinors of the group D_π . According to the holonomy principle this space is isomorphic to the elements of the spinor modul annihilated by the action of $\widetilde{\mathfrak{hol}}(D_\pi)$:

$$\mathcal{P}_{D_\pi} \simeq \{u \in \Delta_{p+r,q+r} \mid \widetilde{\mathfrak{hol}}(D_\pi) \cdot u = 0\}.$$

Combining formula (9) with Proposition 2.3 a direct calculation yields the generators of the holonomy algebra $\widetilde{\mathfrak{hol}}(D_\pi)$.

Proposition 3.1 *The holonomy algebra $\widetilde{\mathfrak{hol}}(D_\pi)$ is spanned by the elements*

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{i,j=1}^r \langle \pi([H_i, H_j]_{\mathfrak{h}}) Y_1, Y_2 \rangle_{\mathfrak{g}} \alpha_i \cdot \alpha_j + 2 \sum_{j=1}^r \pi(H_j) Y \cdot \alpha_j + \sum_{j=1}^n \kappa_j X_j \cdot [Y, X_j]_{\mathfrak{g}}, \\ & 2 \sum_{j=1}^r [H, H_j]_{\mathfrak{h}} \cdot \alpha_j + 2 \sum_{j=1}^r \alpha_j ([H, H_j]_{\mathfrak{h}}) \cdot \mathbf{1} + \sum_{i,j=1}^r c_i \alpha_i ([H, H_j]) \alpha_i \cdot \alpha_j - \sum_{j=1}^n \kappa_j X_j \cdot \pi(H) X_j, \\ & \sum_{i,j=1}^r \alpha([H_i, H_j]_{\mathfrak{h}}) \alpha_i \cdot \alpha_j, \quad 2 \sum_{j=1}^r \pi(H_j) X \cdot \alpha_j + \sum_{j=1}^n \kappa_j X_j \cdot [X, X_j]_{\mathfrak{g}}, \end{aligned}$$

where $Y = [Y_1, Y_2]_{\mathfrak{g}} \in [\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}]_{\mathfrak{g}}$, $H \in [\mathfrak{h}, \mathfrak{h}]_{\mathfrak{h}}$, $\alpha \in \text{ad}_{\mathfrak{h}}(\mathfrak{h})^* \mathfrak{h}^*$, $X \in \pi(\mathfrak{h})_{\mathfrak{g}}$. $\square$

If the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{h}$ is abelian or semi-simple, then

$$\sum_{j=1}^r \alpha_j([H, H_j]_{\mathfrak{h}}) = \text{tr}_{\mathfrak{h}}(\text{ad}(H)) = 0$$

for all $H \in \mathfrak{h}$. Hence, we obtain the following

Theorem 3.1 *Let $D_\pi = D_\pi(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h})$ be a simply connected metric Lie group, where $\mathfrak{h}$ is abelian or semi-simple, and let G be the simply connected metric Lie group corresponding to $(\mathfrak{g}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathfrak{g}})$. Let us denote by $\mathfrak{b}$ the subspace*

$$\mathfrak{b} := \text{ad}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\pi(\mathfrak{h})_{\mathfrak{g}}) + \pi([\mathfrak{h}, \mathfrak{h}]_{\mathfrak{h}}) \subset \mathfrak{so}(\mathfrak{g}).$$

Then the space $\mathcal{P}_{D_\pi}$ of parallel spinor fields on the double extension D_π satisfies

$$\dim \mathcal{P}_{D_\pi} \geq \dim(V_{\mathfrak{b}} \cap V_{\mathfrak{hol}(G)}),$$

where for $\mathfrak{a} \subset \mathfrak{so}(\mathfrak{g})$ the vector space $V_{\mathfrak{a}}$ is defined by

$$V_{\mathfrak{a}} := \{v \in \Delta_{p,q} \mid \lambda_*^{-1}(\mathfrak{a}) \cdot v = 0\}.$$

In particular, if the Lie group G admits a parallel spinor field defined by an element $v \in V_{\mathfrak{hol}(G)}$ such that $\lambda_^{-1}(\mathfrak{b}) \cdot v = 0$, then D_π admits a parallel spinor field.*

Proof. Since $\mathfrak{hol}(G) = \text{ad}_{\mathfrak{g}}([\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}]_{\mathfrak{g}})$ and $\mathfrak{h}$ is simple or 1-dimensional we obtain from Proposition 3.1 and the formulas (10) that

$$\widetilde{\mathfrak{hol}}(D_{\pi}) \cdot (v \otimes u(-1, \dots, -1)) = 0$$

for each $v \in V_{\mathfrak{hol}(G)} \cap V_{\mathfrak{b}}$. The spinor $v \otimes u(-1, \dots, -1) \in \Delta_{p+r, q+r}$ defines a parallel spinor field on D_{π} . $\square$

4 Double extensions by simple Lie groups

Let $\mathfrak{h}$ be a simple Lie algebra. Then $[\mathfrak{h}, \mathfrak{h}] = \mathfrak{h}$ and $\text{ad}(\mathfrak{h})^* = \mathfrak{h}^*$. Therefore we have

$$[\mathfrak{d}, \mathfrak{d}]_{\mathfrak{d}} = \mathfrak{h}^* \oplus ([\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}]_{\mathfrak{g}} + \pi(\mathfrak{h})\mathfrak{g}) \oplus \mathfrak{h} \subset \mathfrak{d} .$$

Consequently, the holonomy algebra of D_{π} is spanned by

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & \beta(X, \cdot) & 0 \\ 0 & \text{ad}_{\mathfrak{g}}X & -\pi(\cdot)X \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} , \quad \begin{pmatrix} \text{ad}_{\mathfrak{h}}(H)^* & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \pi(H) & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \text{ad}_{\mathfrak{h}}H \end{pmatrix} , \quad \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & \text{ad}_{\mathfrak{h}}(\cdot)^*\alpha \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

where $H \in \mathfrak{h}$, $\alpha \in \mathfrak{h}^*$ and $X \in [\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}]_{\mathfrak{g}} + \pi(\mathfrak{h})\mathfrak{g}$.

Let us first consider the special case, that $(\mathfrak{g}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathfrak{g}})$ is an abelian Lie algebra with metric of signature (p, q) . Since $\mathfrak{h}$ is simple the homomorphism $\pi : \mathfrak{h} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{so}(p, q)$ has no kernel. Hence $\mathfrak{h}$ can be viewed as simple subalgebra of $\mathfrak{so}(p, q)$. From Theorem 3.1 and Proposition 2.2 we obtain

Theorem 4.1 *Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be an abelian Lie algebra with scalar product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathfrak{g}}$ and let $\mathfrak{h} \subset \mathfrak{so}(\mathfrak{g}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathfrak{g}})$ be a simple subalgebra. We denote by $\mathfrak{d}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h})$ the double extension given by $\pi = \text{id}$ and by $D(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h})$ the simply connected Lie group corresponding to $\mathfrak{d}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h})$. Then we have*

$$\dim \mathcal{P}_{D(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h})} \geq \dim V_{\mathfrak{h}} .$$

The Ricci tensor of $D(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h})$ is given by

$$\text{Ric}(H, \tilde{H}) = \text{Tr}(H \circ \tilde{H}) + 2B_{\mathfrak{h}}(H, \tilde{H}) \neq 0 .$$

All other components vanish.

There is a whole string of examples where $\dim V_{\mathfrak{h}} \geq 1$. Let us mention the following ones.

Example 4.1 In the paper [BK99] we calculated the space $V_{\mathfrak{h}}$ for the simple Lie algebras $\mathfrak{h}$ occurring in Berger's list of holonomy groups of irreducible pseudo-Riemannian manifolds and of $\mathfrak{so}^*(2p)$. It turns out that $\dim V_{\mathfrak{h}} \geq 1$ for $\mathfrak{su}(p, q) \subset \mathfrak{so}(2p, 2q)$, $\mathfrak{sp}(p, q) \subset \mathfrak{so}(4p, 4q)$, $\mathfrak{so}^*(2p) \subset \mathfrak{so}(2p, 2q)$, $\mathfrak{g}_2 \subset \mathfrak{so}(7)$, $\mathfrak{g}_{2(2)}^* \subset \mathfrak{so}(4, 3)$, $\mathfrak{g}_2^{\mathbb{C}} \subset \mathfrak{so}(7, 7)$, $\mathfrak{spin}(7) \subset \mathfrak{so}(8)$, $\mathfrak{spin}(4, 3) \subset \mathfrak{so}(4, 4)$, and $\mathfrak{spin}(7)^{\mathbb{C}} \subset \mathfrak{so}(8, 8)$.

Example 4.2 We consider the real irreducible representations of $\mathfrak{su}(2)$.

1. $\boxed{\rho_k : \mathfrak{su}(2) \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{so}(2k+1), \ k \geq 1}$

The complexification $\rho_k^{\mathbb{C}} : \mathfrak{su}(2)^{\mathbb{C}} = \mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{C}) \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{so}(2k+1, \mathbb{C})$ equals $\text{Sym}^{2k} \mathbb{C}^2$, where $\mathbb{C}^2$ is the standard representation of $\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{C})$. Consider $H = \begin{pmatrix} i & 0 \\ 0 & -i \end{pmatrix}$. Since the highest weight of $\rho_k^{\mathbb{C}}$ is $2k$ there exists an orthonormal frame $e_1, \dots, e_{2k+1}$ of $\mathbb{R}^{2k+1}$ such that

$$\lambda_*^{-1} \rho_k(H) = e_1 e_2 + 2e_3 e_4 + \dots + k e_{2k-1} e_{2k}.$$

The elements $u(\varepsilon_k, \dots, \varepsilon_1)$, $\varepsilon_i = \pm 1$ for $i = 1, \dots, k$ constitute a basis of weight vectors of the representation $(\lambda_*^{-1} \circ \rho_k)^{\mathbb{C}}$ of $\mathfrak{su}(2)^{\mathbb{C}}$ on Δ_{2k+1} . More exactly we have

$$\lambda_*^{-1} \rho_k(H) u(\varepsilon_k, \dots, \varepsilon_1) = (i\varepsilon_1 + 2i\varepsilon_2 + \dots + ki\varepsilon_k) u(\varepsilon_k, \dots, \varepsilon_1).$$

Let

$$\begin{aligned} N_0 &:= \#\{(\varepsilon_k, \dots, \varepsilon_1) \mid \varepsilon_i = \pm 1 \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, k; \ \varepsilon_1 + 2\varepsilon_2 + \dots + k\varepsilon_k = 0\} \\ N_2 &:= \#\{(\varepsilon_k, \dots, \varepsilon_1) \mid \varepsilon_i = \pm 1 \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, k; \ \varepsilon_1 + 2\varepsilon_2 + \dots + k\varepsilon_k = 2\}. \end{aligned}$$

The decomposition of $(\lambda_*^{-1} \circ \rho_k)^{\mathbb{C}}$ into irreducible $\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{C})$ -representations yields

$$\dim V_{\rho_k(\mathfrak{su}(2)^{\mathbb{C}})} = N_0 - N_2.$$

Consequently, there exists a biinvariant metric of signature $(3, 2k+4)$ on $D(\mathbb{R}^{2k+1}, \rho_k(\mathfrak{su}(2)))$ with at least $N_0 - N_2$ parallel spinors. For example, we have

k	3	4	7	8	11	12	15	16	19	20
$N_0 - N_2$	1	0	0	1	1	1	3	1	5	12

If $k \equiv 1, 2 \pmod{4}$, then $N_0 - N_2 = 0$.

2. $\boxed{\sigma_k : \mathfrak{su}(2) \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{so}(4k), \ k \geq 1}$

The representation σ_k equals $(\text{Sym}^{2k-1} \mathbb{C}^2)_{\mathbb{R}}$, where $\mathbb{C}^2$ is the standard representation of $\mathfrak{su}(2)$. Since the highest weight of $\text{Sym}^{2k-1} \mathbb{C}^2$ equals $2k-1$ there exists an orthonormal frame $e_1, \dots, e_{4k}$ of $\mathbb{R}^{4k}$ such that

$$\lambda_*^{-1} \sigma_k(H) = \frac{1}{2} \left((e_1 e_2 - e_3 e_4) + 3(e_5 e_6 - e_7 e_8) + \dots + (2k-1)(e_{4k-3} e_{4k-2} - e_{4k-1} e_{4k}) \right).$$

Hence, $u(\varepsilon_k, \dots, \varepsilon_1)$, $\varepsilon_i = \pm 1$ for $i = 1, \dots, k$ is a basis of weight vectors of $(\lambda_*^{-1} \circ \sigma_k)^{\mathbb{C}}$ of $(\Delta_{4k}, \lambda_*^{-1} \sigma_k)^{\mathbb{C}}$. And with

$$\begin{aligned} N'_0 &:= \#\{(\varepsilon_{2k}, \dots, \varepsilon_1) \mid \varepsilon_i = \pm 1 \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, k; \\ &\quad (\varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_2) + 3(\varepsilon_3 - \varepsilon_4) + \dots + (2k-1)(\varepsilon_{2k-1} - \varepsilon_{2k}) = 0\} \\ N'_2 &:= \#\{(\varepsilon_{2k}, \dots, \varepsilon_1) \mid \varepsilon_i = \pm 1 \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, k; \\ &\quad (\varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_2) + 3(\varepsilon_3 - \varepsilon_4) + \dots + (2k-1)(\varepsilon_{2k-1} - \varepsilon_{2k}) = 4\}. \end{aligned}$$

we obtain

$$\dim V_{\sigma_k(\mathfrak{su}(2))} = N'_0 - N'_2.$$

Furthermore, since $\sigma_k(\mathfrak{su}(2)) \subset \mathfrak{su}(2k) \subset \mathfrak{so}(4k)$ we have $N'_0 - N'_2 \geq 2$ (see Example 4.1 and [BK99]). Consequently, there exists a biinvariant metric of signature $(3, 4k + 3)$ on $D(\mathbb{R}^{4k}, \sigma_k(\mathfrak{su}(2)))$ with at least $N'_0 - N'_2 \geq 2$ parallel spinors. For example, we have

k	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
$N'_0 - N'_2$	2	3	4	5	8	11	16	29	50	94

Example 4.3 Let $\mathfrak{h}$ be a compact simple Lie algebra and (V, ρ) a representation of $\mathfrak{h}^\mathbb{C}$. Then there exists a hermitian product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ on V such that

$$\rho(\mathfrak{h}) \subset \mathfrak{su}(V, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle) \subset \mathfrak{so}(V_\mathbb{R}, \operatorname{Re} \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle).$$

Consequently,

$$\dim V_{\rho(\mathfrak{h})} \geq 2$$

(see Example 4.1 and [BK99]). Hence, $D(V_\mathbb{R}, \rho(\mathfrak{h}))$ is a Lie group with biinvariant metric of signature $(\dim \mathfrak{h}, \dim V_\mathbb{R} + \dim \mathfrak{h})$ and admits at least two parallel spinors.

Finally, we consider the case, where $\mathfrak{g} = \{0\}$ and $\mathfrak{h}$ is simple. We equip $\mathfrak{h}$ with a multiple $c \cdot B_\mathfrak{h}$ of its Killing form, $c \in \mathbb{R}$. Then the double extension $\mathfrak{d}$ is isomorphic to the semi-direct product $\mathfrak{d} = \mathfrak{h}_{\operatorname{ad}^*} \ltimes \mathfrak{h}^*$ of $\mathfrak{h}$ with the abelian Lie algebra $\mathfrak{h}^*$. Let D be the corresponding Lie group $D = H_{\operatorname{Ad}^*} \ltimes \mathfrak{h}^*$. D is isomorphic to the cotangent bundle T^*H of the simple Lie group H . If $\mathfrak{h}$ is equipped with the metric $c \cdot B_\mathfrak{h}$, we denote the corresponding metric Lie group with T^*H_c . For different parameters c_1 and c_2 the groups $T^*H_{c_1}$ and $T^*H_{c_2}$ are not isometric isomorphic. Indeed, consider a Levi decomposition $\mathfrak{rad} \oplus \mathfrak{s}$ of $\mathfrak{h}_{\operatorname{ad}^*} \ltimes \mathfrak{h}^*$. Then the (uniquely determined) radical $\mathfrak{rad}$ is equal to $\mathfrak{h}^* \subset \mathfrak{h}_{\operatorname{ad}^*} \ltimes \mathfrak{h}^*$ and by the Theorem of Malcev-Harish-Chandra there exists an element $u \in \mathfrak{h}^* \subset D$ such that $\operatorname{Ad}(u)\mathfrak{h} = \mathfrak{s}$. Since the bilinear form $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_\mathfrak{d}$ on $\mathfrak{h}_{\operatorname{ad}^*} \ltimes \mathfrak{h}^*$ is Ad -invariant we can recover $cB_\mathfrak{h}$ (and therefore c) from $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_\mathfrak{d}$.

Theorem 4.2 *Let H be a simply connected simple Lie group. Then there is an exactly one-dimensional space of parallel spinor fields on the cotangent bundle T^*H_c . The Ricci tensor of T^*H_c is given by*

$$\operatorname{Ric}^{T^*H}(Z, \tilde{Z}) = 2B_\mathfrak{h}(Z, \tilde{Z}),$$

where $Z, \tilde{Z} \in \mathfrak{h}$. All other components are zero.

Proof. Let us first prove that the only subspaces of $\mathfrak{d}$ which are invariant under the action of the holonomy group are $\{0\}$, $\mathfrak{h}^*$, and $\mathfrak{d}$. Since $\operatorname{ad}_\mathfrak{d}(\mathfrak{h})$ is a subspace of the holonomy algebra which acts on $\mathfrak{h}^* \subset \mathfrak{d}$ by the co-adjoint representation and $\mathfrak{h}$ is simple any invariant subspace of $\mathfrak{h}^*$ is equal to $\{0\}$ or $\mathfrak{h}^*$. Let now $U \subset \mathfrak{d}$ be an arbitrary

invariant subspace. Then also $U \cap \mathfrak{h}^*$ is invariant. Hence $U \cap \mathfrak{h}^* = 0$ or $\mathfrak{h}^* \subset U$. In the latter case $U/\mathfrak{h}^*$ is an invariant subspace of $\mathfrak{d}/\mathfrak{h}^*$. Again, $\text{ad}_{\mathfrak{d}}(\mathfrak{h})$ acts by adjoint representation and has no other invariant subspaces than $\{0\}$ and $\mathfrak{d}/\mathfrak{h}^*$. Hence, in this case $U = \mathfrak{h}^*$ or $U = \mathfrak{d}$. Now consider the case $U \cap \mathfrak{h}^* = 0$ and let $h + h^*$ be in U , $h \in H$, $h^* \in H^*$. Since U is invariant, $\text{ad}_{\mathfrak{d}}(\alpha)(h + h^*) \in U$ for all $\alpha \in \mathfrak{h}^*$. Hence, $\text{ad}_{\mathfrak{h}}(h)^*\alpha \in \mathfrak{h}^* \cap U$. Because of $U \cap \mathfrak{h}^* = 0$ we obtain $\text{ad}_{\mathfrak{h}}(h)^*\alpha = 0$ for all $\alpha \in \mathfrak{h}^*$. Hence, $\text{ad}(h) = 0$, and since $\mathfrak{h}$ is simple, $h = 0$. Consequently, $h^* \in U \cap \mathfrak{h}^* = 0$. This implies $U = 0$.

Proposition 3.1 shows that $u(-1, \dots, -1)$ is in $V_{\text{hol}(D)}$ and, hence, it defines a parallel spinor field ψ on the cotangent bundle T^*H . Now let ψ' be a further parallel spinor on T^*H . Let it be given by $v \in V_{\text{hol}(D)}$. We define

$$U = \{Z \in TT^*H \mid Z \cdot \psi' = 0\}.$$

Then U is a totally isotropic parallel distribution on T^*H and, hence, given by a totally isotropic subspace of $\mathfrak{d}$ which is invariant under the action of the holonomy algebra. Consequently, this subspace can only be 0 or $\mathfrak{h}^*$. If it is equal to $\{0\}$ then $\text{Ric}(X) \cdot \psi' = 0$ implies $\text{Ric}(X) = 0$ which contradicts $\text{Ric}^D|_{\mathfrak{h} \times \mathfrak{h}} = 2B_{\mathfrak{h}} \neq 0$. Hence it must be equal to $\mathfrak{h}^*$ and, thus,

$$\mathfrak{h}^* \cdot v = 0.$$

Now (10) implies that v is a multiple of $u(-1, \dots, -1)$. Consequently, ψ' is a multiple of ψ . $\square$

5 Double extensions by 1-dimensional Lie groups

In this chapter we consider the case, that $\mathfrak{h}$ is 1-dimensional. Let H be a generator of $\mathfrak{h}$ and $\langle H, H \rangle_{\mathfrak{h}} = c$. Then the double extensions of the Lie algebra $(\mathfrak{g}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathfrak{g}})$ are described by antisymmetric derivations $A \in \text{Der}_a(\mathfrak{g}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathfrak{g}})$:

$$\mathfrak{d}_A := \mathfrak{d}_A(\mathfrak{g}, \mathbb{R}) := \mathbb{R}\alpha \oplus \mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathbb{R}H$$

with the commutator

$$\begin{aligned} [\alpha, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{d}_A} &= 0 \\ [X, Y]_{\mathfrak{d}_A} &= \langle AX, Y \rangle_{\mathfrak{g}} \alpha + [X, Y]_{\mathfrak{g}} \\ [H, X]_{\mathfrak{d}_A} &= AX \end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

for all $X, Y \in \mathfrak{g}$. The invariant metric on $\mathfrak{d}_A$ defined by (5) equals

$$\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_c := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathfrak{g}} & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & c \end{pmatrix}, \quad c \in \mathbb{R}.$$

It is not hard to prove that all $(\mathfrak{d}_A, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_c)$ for $c \in \mathbb{R}$ are isomorphic as metric Lie algebras. Hence, we will assume that $c = 0$. The centre of $\mathfrak{d}_A$ is

$$\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{d}_A) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{R}\alpha \oplus (\ker A \cap \mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{g})) & \text{if } A \not\equiv 0 \text{ mod } \text{ad}(\mathfrak{g}) \\ \mathbb{R}\alpha \oplus \mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{g}) \oplus \mathbb{R}(H - X_0) & \text{if } A = \text{ad}(X_0). \end{cases} \tag{12}$$

If we suppose that $\mathfrak{d}_A$ is indecomposable, then $A \not\equiv 0 \pmod{\text{ad}(\mathfrak{g})}$ and $\ker A \cap \mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{g})$ is totally isotropic. The following proposition shows that it suffices to consider outer antisymmetric derivations $A \in \text{Out}_a(\mathfrak{g}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathfrak{g}}) = \text{Der}_a(\mathfrak{g}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathfrak{g}})/\text{ad}(\mathfrak{g})$.

Proposition 5.1 [FS87] *Let $(\mathfrak{g}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathfrak{g}})$ be a metric Lie algebra and $A, \hat{A} \in \text{Der}_a(\mathfrak{g}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathfrak{g}})$ two antisymmetric derivations on $\mathfrak{g}$. Then there exists an isometric Lie algebra isomorphism*

$$\Psi : \mathfrak{d}_A(\mathfrak{g}, \mathbb{R}) \longrightarrow \mathfrak{d}_{\hat{A}}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathbb{R})$$

if and only if there exists $\lambda_0 \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$, $T_0 \in \mathfrak{g}$ and $\varphi_0 \in \text{Aut}(\mathfrak{g}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathfrak{g}})$ such that

$$\varphi_0^{-1} \hat{A} \varphi_0 = \lambda_0 A + \text{ad}(T_0) .$$

We denote by $D_A(\mathfrak{g})$ a metric Lie group with Lie algebra $\mathfrak{d}_A = \mathfrak{d}_A(\mathfrak{g}, \mathbb{R})$.

Now, let us consider the special case, that $(\mathfrak{g}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathfrak{g}})$ is an abelian pseudo-Euclidean Lie algebra of signature (p, q) and $A \in \mathfrak{so}(p, q)$ is a non-vanishing antisymmetric endomorphism on $\mathfrak{g}$. In this case we denote the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{d}_A = \mathfrak{d}_A(\mathfrak{g}, \mathbb{R})$ by the symbol $\underline{A}(p, q)$ and the corresponding simply connected Lie group by $A(p, q)$.

Theorem 5.1 *Let $\underline{A}(p, q)$ be the double extension of an abelian metric Lie algebra of signature (p, q) by $\mathbb{R}$ defined by $A \in \mathfrak{so}(p, q)$ and let $A(p, q)$ be the simply connected Lie group with Lie algebra $\underline{A}(p, q)$. The group $A(p, q)$ is indecomposable if and only if $\text{Ker } A$ is totally isotropic. Furthermore, $A(p, q)$ is solvable, scalar flat and has a 2-step nilpotent Ricci tensor, where*

$$\begin{aligned} A(p, q) \text{ is Ricci-flat} &\Leftrightarrow \text{tr}_{\mathfrak{g}}(A^2) = 0 \\ A(p, q) \text{ is flat} &\Leftrightarrow A^2 = 0 . \end{aligned}$$

The holonomy of $A(p, q)$ is abelian with Lie algebra

$$\mathfrak{hol}(A(p, q)) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & (A^2 X)^* & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -A^2 X \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \mid X \in \mathfrak{g} \right\} \subset \mathfrak{so}(p+1, q+1) .$$

If the space $A^2 \mathfrak{g}$ is totally isotropic, then the space $\mathcal{P}_{A(p, q)}$ of parallel spinor fields on $A(p, q)$ has dimension $2^{\lfloor \frac{p+q}{2} \rfloor} (1 + 2^{-\rho})$, where ρ is the dimension of $A^2 \mathfrak{g}$. In case $A^2 \mathfrak{g}$ is not totally isotropic, the dimension of $\mathcal{P}_{A(p, q)}$ is $2^{\lfloor \frac{p+q}{2} \rfloor}$.

Proof. The claimed indecomposability is not hard to prove. The assertion about the Ricci tensor follows from Proposition 2.2. The holonomy algebra $\mathfrak{hol}(A(p, q))$ in this case is

$$\widetilde{\mathfrak{hol}}(A(p, q)) = \{A^2 X \cdot \alpha \mid X \in \mathfrak{g}\} .$$

Formulas (10) show that $w \otimes u(1) + v \otimes u(-1)$ belongs to the annihilator of $\widetilde{\mathfrak{hol}}(A(p, q)) \subset \mathfrak{spin}(\mathfrak{d}_A)$, if and only if

$$A^2 X \cdot w = 0 \quad \forall X \in \mathfrak{g} .$$

If $\text{Im } A^2$ is not totally isotropic, then $w = 0$, otherwise w lies in the annihilator of $A^2 \mathfrak{g}$, which has dimension $2^{\lfloor \frac{p+q}{2} \rfloor - \rho}$, if ρ is the dimension of $A^2 \mathfrak{g}$. $\square$

Remark 5.1 The group $A(p, q)$ is nilpotent if and only if A is nilpotent. In this case $A(p, q)$ is an Einstein space of scalar curvature 0 (non-flat if $A^2 \neq 0$). The nilpotent Lie algebras $\underline{A}$ are classified, cf. [FS87].

6 Indecomposable simply connected Lie groups with bi-invariant Lorentzian metric

In this section we recall the classification of simply connected Lie groups with biinvariant Lorentzian metric and we determine the space of parallel spinors on these groups. If D is a simply connected simple Lie group with biinvariant Lorentzian metric, then it is isomorphic to $\widetilde{\text{SL}}(2, \mathbb{R})$ since $\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{R})$ is the only simple Lie algebra for which the Killing form has Lorentzian signature.

Proposition 6.1 [Med85] *Each indecomposable non-simple metric Lie algebra of Lorentzian signature $(1, n-1)$ is isomorphic to exactly one of the double extensions*

$$A_{\underline{\lambda}}(0, 2m), \quad \underline{\lambda} = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m), \quad \lambda_1 = 1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \dots \leq \lambda_m,$$

where $n = 2m - 2$ and

$$A_{\underline{\lambda}} = \begin{pmatrix} \Lambda_1 & & 0 \\ & \ddots & \\ 0 & & \Lambda_m \end{pmatrix}, \quad \Lambda_r = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\lambda_r \\ \lambda_r & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (13)$$

Proof. By Theorem 2.1 each non-simple indecomposable Lie algebra with ad-invariant Lorentzian scalar product is the double extension $\mathfrak{d}_A(\mathfrak{g}, \mathbb{R})$ of a Euclidean Lie algebra $(\mathfrak{g}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathfrak{g}})$ by $\mathbb{R}$ defined by an antisymmetric derivation $A \in \text{Der}_{\mathfrak{a}}(\mathfrak{g})$. Since $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathfrak{g}}$ is positive definite, $\mathfrak{g}$ splits orthogonally into an abelian ideal $\mathfrak{a}$ and a semi-simple ideal $\mathfrak{p}$. By Proposition 2.1 (3.), $\mathfrak{g}$ cannot have a semi-simple factor, hence $\mathfrak{p} = 0$. Therefore, $\mathfrak{g}$ is abelian and $A \in \mathfrak{so}(\mathfrak{g})$ is a bijective antisymmetric map. In particular, the dimension of $\mathfrak{g}$ is even. By Proposition 5.1 $(\mathfrak{d}_A(\mathfrak{g}, \mathbb{R}), \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathfrak{d}})$ and $(\mathfrak{d}_{\hat{A}}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathbb{R}), \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathfrak{d}})$ are isomorphic if and only if there is a map $\varphi_0 \in O(\mathfrak{g}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathfrak{g}})$ and a real number $\lambda_0 \neq 0$ such that $\varphi_0^{-1} \hat{A} \varphi_0 = \lambda_0 A$. If $\dim(\mathfrak{g}) = 2m$ each such class of maps in $\mathfrak{so}(\mathfrak{g})$ is represented by exactly one of the maps $A_{\underline{\lambda}}$ for $\underline{\lambda} = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m)$, $\lambda_1 = 1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \dots \leq \lambda_m$. $\square$

The simply connected Lie group $A_{\underline{\lambda}}(0, 2m)$ is also known as oscillator group and denoted by $\text{Osc}(\underline{\lambda})$. By construction this group is a semi-direct product of $\mathbb{R}$ with the Heisenberg group (see [Med85]).

The group $\widetilde{\text{SL}}(2, \mathbb{R})$ with its Killing form is an Einstein space of negative scalar curvature $R = -\frac{3}{4}$. The oscillator group $\text{Osc}(\underline{\lambda})$ has a 2-step nilpotent Ricci tensor which is given by

$$\text{Ric}(H, H) = - \sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i^2,$$

All other components of the Ricci tensor are zero (see Proposition 2.2).

It follows from Theorem 5.1 that the $2m+2$ -dimensional oscillator groups $\text{Osc}(\underline{\lambda})$, have 2^m -linearly independent parallel spinor fields.

We summarize the results of this section in the following table.

Table 1: Isometry classes of indecomposable simply connected Lie groups with biinvariant Lorentzian metric and number of parallel spinors

G	$\dim G$	$\dim \mathcal{P}_G$
$(\widetilde{\mathrm{SL}}(2, \mathbb{R}), cB_{\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{R})}), c \in \mathbb{R}^+$	3	0
$Osc(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m), 0 < \lambda_1 = 1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \dots \leq \lambda_m, m \geq 1$	$2m + 2$	2^m

7 Indecomposable simply connected Lie groups with biinvariant metric of signature $(2, n - 2)$

Now we turn to metric Lie groups of index 2. First we will describe the structure of such groups. In principle all these groups have to be included in the classification of symmetric spaces with index 2 due to Cahen and Parker ([CP80], see also [Neu02]). However, it is not an easy task to identify the indecomposable Lie groups among these spaces. Moreover, there are metric groups which are isomorphic as symmetric spaces but not as metric groups. Also the notion of indecomposability is not the same for groups and for symmetric spaces. Therefore, we prefer to use the Theorem of Medina and Revoy. Clearly, $\widetilde{\mathrm{SL}}(2, \mathbb{R})$ is the only Lie group with biinvariant metric of index 2 which is simple. The non-simple indecomposable ones can be characterized as follows.

Proposition 7.1 ([KO]) *If $(\mathfrak{d}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ is a non-simple indecomposable Lie algebra with ad-invariant metric of signature $(2, n - 2)$, then $(\mathfrak{d}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ is isomorphic to a double extension $\mathfrak{d}_A(\mathfrak{g}, \mathbb{R})$, where*

1. $\mathfrak{g}$ is an abelian Lorentzian Lie algebra and A is an antisymmetric endomorphism on $\mathfrak{g}$ with totally isotropic kernel, or
2. $\mathfrak{g}$ is an oscillator algebra

$$\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{d}_{A_0}(\mathfrak{g}_0, \mathbb{R}) = \mathbb{R}\alpha_1 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_0 \oplus \mathbb{R}H_1,$$

where $A_0 \in \mathfrak{so}(\mathfrak{g}_0)$ is a bijective antisymmetric map on the abelian Euclidean Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}_0$. With respect to the decomposition $\mathbb{R}\alpha_1 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_0 \oplus \mathbb{R}H_1$ the antisymmetric derivation $A \in \mathrm{Der}_{\mathfrak{a}}(\mathfrak{g})$ is given by

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & U_1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

where $U_1 \in \mathfrak{so}(\mathfrak{g}_0)$ commutes with A_0 and there is no decomposition $\mathfrak{g}_0 = \mathfrak{g}'_0 \oplus \mathfrak{g}''_0$ of $\mathfrak{g}_0$ into orthogonal A_0 -invariant subspaces such that

$$U_1 = \begin{pmatrix} t'A'_0 & 0 \\ 0 & t''A''_0 \end{pmatrix} : \mathfrak{g}'_0 \oplus \mathfrak{g}''_0 \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}'_0 \oplus \mathfrak{g}''_0,$$

where $A'_0 = A_0|_{\mathfrak{g}'_0}$, $A''_0 = A_0|_{\mathfrak{g}''_0}$ and $t', t'' \in \mathbb{R}$, or

3. $\mathfrak{g}$ is the direct sum of a one-dimensional Lie algebra and an oscillator algebra $\mathfrak{d}_{A_0}(\mathfrak{g}_0, \mathbb{R})$ with a bijective antisymmetric map $A_0 \in \mathfrak{so}(\mathfrak{g}_0)$, i.e.

$$\mathfrak{g} = \mathbb{R} \oplus \mathfrak{d}_{A_0}(\mathfrak{g}_0, \mathbb{R}) = \mathbb{R} \oplus \mathbb{R}\alpha_1 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_0 \oplus \mathbb{R}H_1.$$

With respect to this decomposition the derivation $A \in \text{Der}_a(\mathfrak{g})$ is given by

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & U_1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

where $\text{span}\{A_0, U_1\} \in \mathfrak{so}(\mathfrak{g}_0)$ is a 2-dimensional abelian subalgebra.

We denote the corresponding simply connected Lie group with Lie algebra $\mathfrak{d}_A(\mathfrak{g}, \mathbb{R})$ by $A(1, n-3)$ in case 1., by $\text{Osc}(A_0, U_1)$ in case 2., and by $D(A_0, U_1)$ in case 3.

Proposition 7.1 is more a structure theorem than a full classification. We will give now an exact classification of indecomposable metric Lie algebras of signature $(2, n-2)$ which have a one-dimensional centre. These algebras are exactly those which appear in Proposition 7.1, case 1. Therefore Proposition 5.1 implies

Proposition 7.2 *If $(\mathfrak{d}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ is an indecomposable metric Lie algebra of signature $(2, n-2)$ with one-dimensional centre. Then $\mathfrak{d}$ is isomorphic to*

1. $\underline{L}_2(1, 1)$ if $n = 4$,
2. $\underline{L}_3(1, 2)$ if $n = 5$,
3. $\underline{L}_{2,\underline{\lambda}}(1, n-3)$ for $n > 5$ even, or
4. $\underline{L}_{3,\underline{\lambda}}(1, n-3)$ for $n > 5$ odd,

where

$$L_2 := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, L_3 := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, L_{2,\underline{\lambda}} := \begin{pmatrix} L_2 & 0 \\ 0 & A_{\underline{\lambda}} \end{pmatrix}, L_{3,\underline{\lambda}} := \begin{pmatrix} L_3 & 0 \\ 0 & A_{\underline{\lambda}} \end{pmatrix},$$

$\underline{\lambda} = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_l)$ with $0 < \lambda_1 \leq \dots \leq \lambda_l$ and $A_{\underline{\lambda}}$ is defined as in (13).

Using Theorem 5.1 we can compute the number of linearly independent parallel spinors on the simply connected groups which correspond to the Lie algebras described in Proposition 7.2. The 4-dimensional Lie group $L_2(1, 1)$ has 2 linearly independent parallel spinors. The 5-dimensional Lie group $L_3(1, 2)$ of signature $(2, 3)$ is non-flat, Ricci-flat and has 3 linearly independent parallel spinors. The groups $L_{2,\underline{\lambda}}(1, n-3)$ and $L_{3,\underline{\lambda}}(1, n-3)$, $n > 5$, have $2^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor - 1}$ linearly independent parallel spinors.

Next we determine the space of parallel spinors on simply-connected Lie groups with Lie algebra occuring in Proposition 7.1, case 3. These Lie algebras are exactly those indecomposable ones of signature $(2, n-2)$ which are odd-dimensional and have a 2-dimensional centre.

Proposition 7.3 *If $(\mathfrak{d}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ is an odd-dimensional indecomposable metric Lie algebra of signature $(2, n-2)$ with 2-dimensional centre, then $n \geq 7$ and the simply connected Lie group with Lie algebra $\mathfrak{d}$ admits $2^{\frac{n-5}{2}}$ linearly independent parallel spinors.*

Proof. Under the above assumptions $\mathfrak{d}$ is a double extension $\mathfrak{d} = \mathfrak{d}_A(\mathfrak{g}, \mathbb{R})$ where $(\mathfrak{g}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathfrak{g}})$ and $A \in \text{Der}_{\mathfrak{a}}(\mathfrak{g}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathfrak{g}})$ are as in Proposition 7.1, 3. In particular,

$$\mathfrak{g} = \mathbb{R} \oplus \mathfrak{d}_{A_0}(\mathfrak{g}_0, \mathbb{R}) = \mathbb{R} \oplus \mathbb{R}\alpha_1 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_0 \oplus \mathbb{R}H_1,$$

where $\mathfrak{g}_0$ is a $2m$ -dimensional Euclidean and abelian Lie algebra and $A_0 \in \mathfrak{so}(\mathfrak{g}_0)$ is bijective. A is given by $U_1 \in \mathfrak{so}(\mathfrak{g}_0)$. Obviously,

$$[\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}]_{\mathfrak{g}} = [\mathfrak{d}_{A_0}(\mathfrak{g}_0, \mathbb{R}), \mathfrak{d}_{A_0}(\mathfrak{g}_0, \mathbb{R})]_{\mathfrak{g}} = \mathbb{R}\alpha_1 \oplus \text{Im}A_0 = \mathbb{R}\alpha_1 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_0$$

and

$$A\mathfrak{g} = \mathbb{R} \oplus \mathbb{R}\alpha_1 \oplus U_1(\mathfrak{g}_0).$$

Therefore

$$[\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}]_{\mathfrak{g}} + A\mathfrak{g} = \mathbb{R} \oplus \mathbb{R}\alpha_1 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_0. \quad (14)$$

Now let y be a unit vector in $\mathbb{R}$ and $X_1, \dots, X_{2m}$ an orthonormal basis of $\mathfrak{g}_0$. By Proposition 3.1 and (14)

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{\mathfrak{hol}}(D_A(\mathfrak{g})) &= \left\{ 2AZ \cdot \alpha + y \cdot [Z, y]_{\mathfrak{g}} + \sum_{j=1}^{2m} X_j \cdot [Z, X_j]_{\mathfrak{g}} \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \frac{1}{2}(H_1 - \alpha_1)[Z, H_1 - \alpha_1]_{\mathfrak{g}} + \frac{1}{2}(H_1 + \alpha_1)[Z, H_1 + \alpha_1]_{\mathfrak{g}} \mid \right. \\ &\quad \left. Z \in [\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}]_{\mathfrak{g}} + A\mathfrak{g} \right\} \\ &= \left\{ 2AZ \cdot \alpha + \sum_{j=1}^{2m} X_j \cdot [Z, X_j]_{\mathfrak{g}} + \alpha_1 \cdot [Z, H_1]_{\mathfrak{g}} \mid Z \in \mathbb{R}y \oplus \mathbb{R}\alpha_1 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_0 \right\} \\ &= \text{span} \{ \alpha_1 \cdot \alpha, U_1 X \cdot \alpha + A_0 X \cdot \alpha_1 \mid X \in \mathfrak{g}_0 \}. \end{aligned}$$

Consider now $u = u_{++} \otimes u(1, 1) + u_{+-} \otimes u(1, -1) + u_{-+} \otimes u(-1, 1) + u_{--} \otimes u(-1, -1) \in \Delta_{2m+1} \otimes \Delta_{2,2} = \Delta_{\mathbb{R}y \oplus \mathfrak{g}_0} \otimes \Delta_{2,2}$. We have

$$\alpha_1 \cdot \alpha \cdot u = -2u_{++} \otimes u(-1, -1)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} (U_1 X \cdot \alpha + A_0 X \cdot \alpha_1) \cdot u &= \sqrt{2} \left(- (U_1 X u_{++}) \otimes u(1, -1) + (U_1 X u_{-+}) \otimes u(-1, -1) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + (A_0 X u_{++}) \otimes u(-1, 1) + (A_0 X u_{+-}) \otimes u(-1, -1) \right). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $\widetilde{\mathfrak{hol}}(D_A(\mathfrak{g}))u = 0$ is equivalent to

$$u_{++} = 0, \quad U_1 X \cdot u_{-+} + A_0 X \cdot u_{+-} = 0 \quad (15)$$

for all $X \in \mathfrak{g}_0$. Since A_0 and U_1 commute we may assume

$$\begin{aligned} A_0 X_{2i-1} &= \lambda_i X_{2i}, & A_0 X_{2i} &= -\lambda_i X_{2i-1} \\ U_1 X_{2i-1} &= \mu_i X_{2i}, & U_1 X_{2i} &= -\mu_i X_{2i-1} \end{aligned}$$

for $i = 1, \dots, 2m$. Therefore we obtain from (15)

$$\mu_i X_{2i} \cdot u_{-+} + \lambda_i X_{2i} u_{+-} = 0$$

and, thus,

$$\mu_i u_{-+} = -\lambda_i u_{+-}.$$

Since U_1 and A_0 are linearly independent this implies $u_{-+} = u_{+-} = 0$. Hence,

$$u_{++} = u_{+-} = u_{-+} = 0.$$

We conclude

$$\dim \mathcal{P}_{D_A} = \dim \Delta_{2m+1} = 2^m.$$

□

It remains to consider case 2. of Proposition 7.1. The Lie algebras occuring there are exactly the indecomposable ones of signature $(2, n-2)$ which are even-dimensional and have a 2-dimensional centre. Since these spaces will occur in a more general context in Section 9 we will postpone the calculation of the space of parallel spinors on $Osc(A_0, U_1)$. However, we already mention the result in the following table.

Table 2: Indecomposable simply connected Lie groups with biinvariant metric of signature $(2, n-2)$ and number of parallel spinors

G	$\dim G = n$	$\dim \mathcal{P}_G$
$(\widetilde{\mathrm{SL}}(2, \mathbb{R}), -cB_{\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{R})}), c \in \mathbb{R}^+$	3	0
$L_2(1, 1)$	4	2
$L_3(1, 2)$	5	3
$L_{2, \underline{\Delta}}(1, n-3), n > 5, n \text{ even}$	$n > 5$	$2^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor - 1}$
$L_{3, \underline{\Delta}}(1, n-3), n > 5, n \text{ odd}$	$n > 5$	$2^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor - 1}$
$Osc(A_0, U_1), A_0, U_1 \in \mathfrak{so}(2m)$ A_0, U_1 as in Proposition 7.1	$2m + 4 \geq 8$	2^m
$D(A_0, U_1), A_0, U_1 \in \mathfrak{so}(2m)$ A_0 bijective; A_0, U_1 linearly independent	$2m + 5$	2^m

8 Indecomposable simply connected metric Lie groups of dimension $n \leq 6$

Now we will classify the indecomposable simply connected metric Lie groups of dimension $n \leq 6$ and determine the space of parallel spinors for each isomorphism class. We will summarize the results in Table 3 - Table 6. Since we already have a classification for index 1 and 2 it remains to study the case of signature $(3, 3)$. The Lie algebra $\mathfrak{spin}(1, 3)$ is the only simple Lie algebra, whose Killing form has this signature. Each indecomposable metric Lie algebra $\mathfrak{d}$ which is not simple is a double extension by a simple or a one-dimensional Lie algebra $\mathfrak{h}$. If $\mathfrak{h}$ is simple and $n \leq 6$, then $\mathfrak{h}$ must be 3-dimensional, hence, $\mathfrak{h} = \mathfrak{su}(2)$ or $\mathfrak{h} = \mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{R})$. Consider now the case where $\mathfrak{h}$ is one-dimensional, i.e. $\mathfrak{d} = \mathfrak{d}_A(\mathfrak{g}, \mathbb{R})$. Then $\mathfrak{g}$ is of signature $(2, 2)$. Since $\mathfrak{d}$ is indecomposable, $\mathfrak{g}$ cannot contain a simple ideal. Consequently, $\mathfrak{g} = \underline{L}_2(1, 1)$ or $\mathfrak{g}$ is abelian. It is not hard to prove that any double extension of $\mathfrak{g} = \underline{L}_2(1, 1)$ is decomposable (see also Remark 9.2). This implies $\mathfrak{d} = \mathfrak{d}_A(\mathfrak{g}, \mathbb{R})$, where $\mathfrak{g}$ is abelian and of signature $(2, 2)$ and the kernel of $A \in \mathfrak{so}(2, 2)$ is totally isotropic. Such a linear map A is up to conjugation and up to non-zero multiples one of the following ones

$$\begin{aligned}
1. \quad A = N_1 &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathfrak{g}} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \\
2. \quad A = N_{2,t} &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -t & 0 & 0 \\ t & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & -t \\ 0 & 0 & t & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathfrak{g}} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad t > 0, \\
3. \quad A = N_{3,\pm} &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 & \pm 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & \pm 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathfrak{g}} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \\
4. \quad A = N_{4,t} &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -t \\ 0 & 0 & t & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathfrak{g}} = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad t > 0, \\
5. \quad A = N_5 &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathfrak{g}} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \\
6. \quad A = N_{6,t} &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & t & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -t \end{pmatrix}, \quad \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathfrak{g}} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad t \geq 1.
\end{aligned}$$

Only N_1 has a non-trivial kernel. Since $N_1^2 = 0$ the 6-dimensional indecomposable Lie group $N_1(2, 2)$ of signature $(3, 3)$ is flat and has 8 linearly independent parallel spinors. The 6-dimensional Lie groups $N_k(2, 2)$, $k = 2, \dots, 6$ have 4 linearly independent parallel spinors. $N_k(2, 2)$ is Ricci-flat if and only if $k = 2$ with the parameter $t = 1$.

Table 3: $\dim G = 3$

G	signature (p, q)	$\dim \mathcal{P}_G$	geometry
$(SU(2), -cB_{\mathfrak{su}(2)}), c \in \mathbb{R}^+$	(0, 3)	0	G simple simple holonomy
$(\widetilde{SL}(2, \mathbb{R}), -cB_{\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{R})}), c \in \mathbb{R}^+$	(1, 2)	0	Einstein space, $R > 0$

Table 4: $\dim G = 4$

G	signature (p, q)	$\dim \mathcal{P}_G$	geometry
$Osc(1)$, i.e. the oscillator group $Osc(\underline{\lambda})$ with $\underline{\lambda} = \lambda_1 = 1$	(1, 3)	2	G solvable abelian holonomy $\mathbb{R}^2 \subset \mathfrak{so}(1, 3)$ $R = 0, \text{Ric}(H, H) = -2$
$L_2(1, 1)$	(2, 2)	2	G solvable abelian holonomy $\mathbb{R}^2 \subset \mathfrak{so}(2, 2)$ $R = 0, \text{Ric}(H, H) = 2$

Table 5: $\dim G = 5$

G	signature (p, q)	$\dim \mathcal{P}_G$	geometry
$L_3(1, 2)$	(2, 3)	3	G 3-step nilpotent abelian holonomy $\mathbb{R} \subset \mathfrak{so}(2, 3)$ $\text{Ric} = 0$, non-flat

Table 6: $\dim G = 6$

G	signature (p, q)	$\dim \mathcal{P}_G$	geometry
$Osc(1, \lambda), \lambda \in \mathbb{R}, \lambda \geq 1$	(1, 5)	4	G solvable abelian holonomy $\mathbb{R}^4$ $\text{Ric}(H, H) = -1 - \lambda^2$
$L_{2,\lambda}(1, 3), \lambda \in \mathbb{R}, \lambda > 0$	(2, 4)	4	G solvable abelian holonomy $\mathbb{R}^4$ $\text{Ric}(H, H) = 2 - 2\lambda^2$
$(Spin(1, 3), -cB_{\mathfrak{spin}(1,3)}), c \in \mathbb{R}^+$	(3, 3)	0	G simple simple holonomy Einstein space, $R > 0$
$T^*SU(2)_c, c \in \mathbb{R}$	(3, 3)	1	G proper Levi holonomy equals $\mathfrak{g}$
$T^*\widetilde{SL}(2, \mathbb{R})_c, c \in \mathbb{R}$	(3, 3)	1	$\text{Ric}^2 = 0, \text{Ric} \neq 0, R = 0$
$N_1(2, 2)$	(3, 3)	8	G 2-step nilpotent holonomy = 0, flat
$N_k(2, 2), k = 2, \dots, 6$	(3, 3)	4	G solvable abelian holonomy $\mathbb{R}^4$ $\text{Ric}^2 = 0, R = 0$ $\text{Ric} = 0 \Leftrightarrow k = 2, t = 1$

9 Solvable Lie groups with maximal isotropic centre

In this chapter we study a special class of solvable metric Lie algebras with maximal isotropic centre. For that reason we consider multiply 1-dimensional extended Lie algebras. Let $(\mathfrak{g}_0, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_0)$ be an n -dimensional Euclidean abelian Lie algebra and $A_0 = U_0 \in \mathfrak{so}(\mathfrak{g}_0)$ a bijective antisymmetric map. Then the oscillator algebra

$$\mathfrak{g}_1 := \mathfrak{d}_{A_0}(\mathfrak{g}_0, \mathbb{R})$$

is an indecomposable Lorentzian Lie algebra with maximal isotropic centre $\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{g}_1) = \mathbb{R}\alpha_1$. We now define a series of Lie algebras $\mathfrak{g}_1, \mathfrak{g}_2, \mathfrak{g}_3, \dots$ by induction. Let $\mathfrak{g}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{g}_{m-1}$ be already defined. Choose $A_{m-1} \in \text{Der}_{\mathfrak{a}}(\mathfrak{g}_{m-1}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{m-1})$ and define

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{g}_m &:= \mathfrak{d}_{A_{m-1}}(\mathfrak{g}_{m-1}, \mathbb{R}) = \mathbb{R}\alpha_m \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{m-1} \oplus \mathbb{R}H_m \\ &= \text{span}\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m, \mathfrak{g}_0, H_1, \dots, H_m\}. \end{aligned}$$

We will denote the Lie bracket of $\mathfrak{g}_m$ by $[\cdot, \cdot]_m$. If $\mathfrak{g}_m$ arises from $\mathfrak{g}_0$ by this procedure using $A_0, \dots, A_{m-1}$ we will write

$$\mathfrak{g}_m = \mathfrak{d}(\mathfrak{g}_0, A_0, \dots, A_{m-1}).$$

The Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}_m$ has a pseudo-Euclidean metric of signature $(m, m+n)$ given by

$$\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_m = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & E \\ 0 & \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_0 & 0 \\ E & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

with respect to $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m, \mathfrak{g}_0, H_1, \dots, H_m$.

Consider now linear maps $A_k^0 \in \mathfrak{so}(k, k+n)$, $k = 1, \dots, m-1$ and a bijective map $A_0^0 \in \mathfrak{so}(n)$. Then $\{A_0^0, A_1^0, \dots, A_{m-1}^0\}$ is called a *normal set of derivations* if

$$(i) \quad A_k^0 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & Z_k \\ 0 & U_k & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \text{ where } U_k \in \mathfrak{so}(n), Z_k \in \mathbb{R}(k) \text{ with } Z_k^\top = -Z_k \text{ and}$$

$$(ii) \quad \text{span}\{U_0, \dots, U_{m-1}\} \subset \mathfrak{so}(n) \text{ is abelian.}$$

If as above $\mathfrak{g}_0$ is a Euclidean abelian Lie algebra, then one proves by induction that A_k^0 is indeed a derivation on $\mathfrak{g}_k^0 = \mathfrak{d}(\mathfrak{g}_0, A_0^0, \dots, A_{k-1}^0)$.

Proposition 9.1 *Let $\{A_0^0, \dots, A_{m-1}^0\}$ be a set of normal derivations. Then the Lie bracket of*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{g}_m^0 &= \mathfrak{d}(\mathfrak{g}_0, A_0^0, \dots, A_{m-1}^0) \\ &= \mathbb{R}\alpha_m \oplus \dots \oplus \mathbb{R}\alpha_1 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_0 \oplus \mathbb{R}H_1 \oplus \dots \oplus \mathbb{R}H_m \end{aligned}$$

is given by

$$\begin{aligned} [\alpha_i, \cdot]_m &= 0 \\ [X, Y]_m &= \sum_{j=1}^m \langle U_{j-1}X, Y \rangle_0 \alpha_j \quad X, Y \in \mathfrak{g}_0 \\ [H_i, X]_m &= U_{i-1}X \quad i = 1, \dots, m \\ [H_i, H_j]_m &= (Z_{m-1})_i^j \alpha_m + (Z_{m-2})_i^j \alpha_{m-1} + \dots \\ &\quad + (Z_i)_i^j \alpha_{i+1} + \sum_{k=1}^{i-1} (Z_{i-1})_j^k \alpha_k \quad \text{for } m \geq i > j \geq 1. \end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

In particular, $\mathfrak{g}_m^0$ is solvable. Let G_m^0 be the simply connected metric Lie group associated with $(\mathfrak{g}_m^0, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_m)$. Then

$$\mathfrak{hol}(G_m^0) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} (U_{m-1}X)^\top \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \\ (U_0X)^\top \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ -U_0X \dots - U_{m-1}X \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \mid X \in \mathfrak{g}_0 \right\} \tag{17}$$

is abelian and for the spin holonomy

$$\widetilde{\mathfrak{hol}}(G_m^0) = \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^m (U_{k-1}X) \cdot \alpha_k \mid X \in \mathfrak{g}_0 \right\} \subset \mathfrak{spin}(\mathfrak{g}_m^0) \quad (18)$$

holds. The Ricci tensor of G_m^0 is given by

$$\text{Ric}(H_i, H_j) = \text{tr}(U_{i-1} \cdot U_{j-1}) .$$

All other components are zero. In particular, G_m^0 is a scalar flat, non-Einstein space with 2-step nilpotent Ricci tensor.

Proof. Relation (16) follows from the definition of $\mathfrak{g}_m^0$; the formula for the Ricci tensor from Proposition 2.2. Obviously, the third derivative of $\mathfrak{g}_m^0$ vanishes, hence $\mathfrak{g}_m^0$ is solvable. The assertion on $\mathfrak{hol}(G_m^0)$ follows from

$$\mathfrak{hol}(G_m^0) = \text{ad}_m([\mathfrak{g}_m^0, \mathfrak{g}_m^0]) = \text{ad}_m(\text{span}\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m\} \oplus \mathfrak{g}_0) = \text{ad}_m(\mathfrak{g}_0) .$$

and (16). Equation (18) is a consequence of (8) and (17). $\square$

If $\{A_0^0, \dots, A_{m-1}^0\}$ is a normal set of derivations such that

$$A_k^0 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & U_k & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} , \quad k = 0, \dots, m-1$$

we call the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}_m^0 = \mathfrak{d}(\mathfrak{g}_0, A_0^0, \dots, A_{m-1}^0)$ a *generalized oscillator algebra of index m* and denote it by

$$\mathfrak{osc}(U_0, \dots, U_{m-1}) .$$

The corresponding simply-connected Lie group is denoted by $\text{Osc}(U_0, \dots, U_{m-1})$.

Corollary 9.1 *For a set $\{A_0^0, \dots, A_{m-1}^0\}$ of normal derivations we may assume that all U_k with $U_k \neq 0$ are linearly independent.*

Proof. Fix $k < m$ and assume that all U_l , $l < k$, with $U_l \neq 0$ are linearly independent. If U_k is a linear combination of these U_l , i.e. if

$$U_k = \lambda_0 U_0 + \dots + \lambda_{k-1} U_{k-1}, \quad \lambda_0, \dots, \lambda_{k-1} \in \mathbb{R},$$

then we consider the inner derivation

$$\text{ad}_k \left(\sum_{j=1}^k \lambda_{j-1} H_j \right) = \sum_{j=1}^k \lambda_{j-1} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & Z_{j-1} \\ 0 & U_{j-1} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & \tilde{Z}_k \\ 0 & U_k & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

and therefore

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & Z_k \\ 0 & U_k & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & Z_k - \tilde{Z}_k \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \pmod{\text{ad}(\mathfrak{g}_k)} .$$

The assertion now follows from Proposition 5.1. $\square$

We know from Proposition 9.1 that the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}_m^0$ constructed above is solvable and $\text{span}\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m\} \subset \mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{g}_m^0)$ is a maximal isotropic subspace of $\mathfrak{g}_m^0$. Conversely, the following is true

Proposition 9.2 *Let $(\mathfrak{g}_m, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ be a solvable metric Lie algebra of signature $(m, m+n)$, $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$, such that the centre $\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{g}_m)$ of $\mathfrak{g}_m$ contains a maximal isotropic subspace $\mathfrak{a}_m$. Then*

1. *$(\mathfrak{g}_m, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ is isomorphic to a Lie algebra $\mathfrak{d}(\mathfrak{g}_0, A_0, \dots, A_{m-1})$, where $\mathfrak{g}_0$ is a Euclidean abelian Lie algebra, $\mathfrak{a}_m = \text{span}\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m\}$ and the derivations A_k satisfy $A_k(\text{span}\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k\}) = 0$ for $k = 1, \dots, m-1$.*
2. *If A_0 in 1. is bijective, then $\mathfrak{g}_m = \mathfrak{d}(\mathfrak{g}_0, A_0^0, \dots, A_{m-1}^0)$ for a normal set of derivations $\{A_0^0, \dots, A_{m-1}^0\}$.*

Proof. Let $\mathfrak{a}_m \subset \mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{g}_m)$ be maximal isotropic. Choose an element $\alpha_m \in \mathfrak{a}_m$ with $\alpha_m \neq 0$. Then there exists an element $H_m \in \mathfrak{g}_m$ such that $\langle \alpha_m, H_m \rangle = 1$ and $\langle H_m, H_m \rangle = 0$. Define $\mathfrak{g}_{m-1} := \{\alpha_m, H_m\}^\perp$. Then there is a Lie algebra structure on $\mathfrak{g}_{m-1}$ such that

$$\mathfrak{g}_m = \mathfrak{d}_{A_{m-1}}(\mathfrak{g}_{m-1}, \mathbb{R}) = \mathbb{R}\alpha_m \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{m-1} \oplus \mathbb{R}H_m,$$

where $A_{m-1} = \text{ad}_m(H_m)|_{\mathfrak{g}_{m-1}}$. Since $\mathfrak{g}_m$ is solvable, $\mathfrak{g}_{m-1}$ is solvable as well. By (12) the center of $\mathfrak{g}_m$ equals

$$\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{g}) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{R}\alpha_m \oplus (\ker A_{m-1} \cap \mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{g}_{m-1})) & \text{if } A_{m-1} \not\equiv 0 \pmod{\text{ad}(\mathfrak{g}_{m-1})} \\ \mathbb{R}\alpha_m \oplus \mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{g}_{m-1}) \oplus \mathbb{R}(H_m - X_0) & \text{if } A_{m-1} = \text{ad}_{m-1}(X_0). \end{cases} \quad (19)$$

Since $\mathfrak{a}_m \in \mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{g}_m)$ is totally isotropic and $\alpha_m \in \mathfrak{a}_m$ we have $\mathfrak{a}_m \subset \mathbb{R}\alpha_m \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{m-1}$. Hence, we obtain $\mathfrak{a}_m = \mathbb{R}\alpha_m \oplus \mathfrak{a}_{m-1}$, where $\mathfrak{a}_{m-1} \subset \mathfrak{g}_{m-1}$ is maximal isotropic. On the other hand, it follows from $\mathfrak{a}_m \subset \mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{g})$ and (19) that

$$\mathfrak{a}_{m-1} \subset \mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{g}_{m-1}) \cap \text{Ker } A_{m-1} \subset \mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{g}).$$

Hence the center $\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{g}_{m-1})$ admits a maximal isotropic subspace $\mathfrak{a}_{m-1}$. If we continue with $\mathfrak{a}_{m-1}$ in the same way as with $\mathfrak{a}_m$ we obtain a sequence of isotropic elements $\alpha_m, \alpha_{m-1}, \dots, \alpha_1 \in \mathfrak{a}_m$ and derivations $A_{m-1}, A_{m-2}, \dots, A_1$ such that

$$\mathfrak{g}_m = \mathfrak{d}(\mathfrak{g}_0, A_0, \dots, A_{m-1}) \quad \text{and} \quad A_k(\text{span}\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k\}) = 0 \quad k = 1, \dots, m-1.$$

Now, let us assume in addition that the derivation A_0 in this representation is bijective. Then, if $m = 1$, the second statement of the Proposition is obviously true. Let us suppose, that statement 2. is true for $m-1 \geq 1$. Then we may assume that $\mathfrak{g}_m = \mathfrak{d}_{A_{m-1}}(\mathfrak{g}_{m-1}, \mathbb{R})$ and

$$\mathfrak{g}_{m-1} = \mathfrak{d}(\mathfrak{g}_0, A_0^0, \dots, A_{m-2}^0) \quad (20)$$

where $\mathfrak{g}_0$ is a Euclidean abelian Lie algebra, $\{A_0^0, \dots, A_{m-2}^0\}$ is a normal set of derivations and $\mathfrak{a}_{m-1} = \text{span}\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{m-1}\}$. From $\mathfrak{a}_{m-1} \subset \text{Ker } A_{m-1}$ we get

$$A_{m-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -V_{m-1}^\top & Z_{m-1} \\ 0 & U_{m-1} & V_{m-1} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{aligned} U_{m-1} &\in \mathfrak{so}(n) \\ V_{m-1} &\in \mathbb{R}(n, m-1) \\ Z_{m-1} &\in \mathbb{R}(m-1), Z_{m-1}^\top = -Z_{m-1} \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

with respect to $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{m-1}, \mathfrak{g}_0, H_1, \dots, H_{m-1}$. Let us prove, that there exists an antisymmetric derivation A_{m-1}^0 on $\mathfrak{g}_{m-1}$ such that A_{m-1} is equal to A_{m-1}^0 modulo

inner derivations and $\{A_0^0, \dots, A_{m-1}^0\}$ is a normal set of derivations. From (16) we know that

$$A_{m-1}[H_i, X]_{m-1} = A_{m-1}U_{i-1}X = - \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} \langle (V_{m-1})_j, U_{i-1}X \rangle_0 \alpha_j + U_{m-1}U_{i-1}X$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & [A_{m-1}H_i, X]_{m-1} + [H_i, A_{m-1}X]_{m-1} = \\ & = \left[\sum_{l=1}^{m-1} (Z_{m-1})_i^l \alpha_l + (V_{m-1})_i, X \right]_{m-1} - \sum_{l=1}^{m-1} \langle (V_{m-1})_l, X \rangle_0 [H_i, \alpha_l]_{m-1} \\ & \quad + [H_i, U_{m-1}X]_{m-1} \\ & = \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} \langle U_{j-1}(V_{m-1})_i, X \rangle_0 \alpha_j + U_{i-1}U_{m-1}X \end{aligned}$$

for all $X \in \mathfrak{g}_0$. It follows, that

$$[U_j, U_{m-1}] = 0 \quad \text{for all } j = 0, \dots, m-2$$

and that

$$U_{i-1}(V_{m-1})_j = U_{j-1}(V_{m-1})_i \quad i, j = 1, \dots, m-1.$$

Hence, $\text{span}\{U_0, \dots, U_{m-1}\}$ is abelian and the matrix V_{m-1} is given by the columns

$$V_{m-1} = (Y, U_0^{-1}U_1Y, U_0^{-1}U_2Y, \dots, U_0^{-1}U_{m-2}Y),$$

where $Y = (V_{m-1})_1$. By (16) we have

$$\text{ad}_{m-1}(Y) = \begin{pmatrix} (U_0Y)^\top & & \\ 0 & \vdots & 0 \\ (U_{m-2}Y)^\top & & \\ 0 & 0 & -U_0Y \dots - U_{m-2}Y \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (22)$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} A_{m-1} &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & Z_{m-1} \\ 0 & U_{m-1} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} - \text{ad}_{m-1}(U_0^{-1}(V_{m-1})_1) \\ &\equiv \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & Z_{m-1} \\ 0 & U_{m-1} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \text{ mod ad}(\mathfrak{g}_{m-1}). \end{aligned}$$

□

For the following Proposition we need a simple fact on the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{so}(n)$.

Lemma 9.1 *If $\mathfrak{b} \subset \mathfrak{so}(n)$ is an abelian subalgebra and $[X, \mathfrak{b}] \subset \mathfrak{b}$ for $X \in \mathfrak{so}(n)$, then $[X, \mathfrak{b}] = 0$.*

Proof. Since $\mathfrak{so}(n)$ admits a definite inner product also the subalgebra $\mathfrak{c} = \mathfrak{b} \oplus \mathbb{R}X$ has a definite inner product. By our assumption $\mathfrak{b}$ is an ideal in $\mathfrak{c}$. Hence, also $\mathfrak{b}^\perp$ is an ideal and $\mathfrak{c} = \mathfrak{b} \oplus \mathfrak{b}^\perp$. Thus $\mathfrak{b}^\perp = \mathbb{R}(X + B_0)$ for some $B_0 \in \mathfrak{b}$ and therefore $0 = [\mathfrak{b}, X + B_0] = [\mathfrak{b}, X]$. $\square$

Proposition 9.3 *Let $(\mathfrak{g}_0, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_0)$ be an n -dimensional Euclidean abelian Lie algebra and $\{A_0^0, \dots, A_{m-1}^0\}$ a normal set of derivations such that $U_0, \dots, U_{m-1} \in \mathfrak{so}(n)$ are linearly independent. If A_m is an antisymmetric derivation on $\mathfrak{g}_m^0 = \mathfrak{d}(\mathfrak{g}_0, A_0^0, \dots, A_{m-1}^0)$ then the centre of the solvable Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}_{m+1} = \mathfrak{d}_{A_m}(\mathfrak{g}_m^0, \mathbb{R})$ has a maximal isotropic subspace, i.e. an isotropic subspace of dimension $m + 1$. In particular, $\mathfrak{g}_{m+1}$ satisfies the condition of Proposition 9.2, 2.*

Proof. If $U_0, \dots, U_{m-1}$ are linearly independent, then the centre of $\mathfrak{g}_m^0$ is equal to $\text{span}\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m\}$. Indeed, if $X + \sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i H_i \in \mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{g}_m^0)$ for $X \in \mathfrak{g}_0$, $\lambda_i \in \mathbb{R}$, then we obtain from (16)

$$0 = [X + \sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i H_i, Y] = \sum_{j=1}^m \langle U_{j-1} X, Y \rangle_0 \alpha_j + \sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i U_{i-1} Y$$

for all $Y \in \mathfrak{g}_0$. Hence, $X \in \text{Ker } U_{j-1}$ for $j = 1, \dots, m$. In particular, $U_0 X = 0$ which implies $X = 0$. Furthermore,

$$\sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i U_{i-1} Y = 0$$

for all $Y \in \mathfrak{g}_0$. Since $U_0, \dots, U_{m-1}$ are linearly independent we obtain $\lambda_i = 0$ for $i = 1, \dots, m$.

Now let A_m be an antisymmetric derivation on $\mathfrak{g}_m^0$. Then

$$A_m = \begin{pmatrix} B & -V^\top & Z_m \\ 0 & U_m & V \\ 0 & 0 & -B^\top \end{pmatrix} \quad \begin{array}{l} U_m \in \mathfrak{so}(n) \\ (Z_m)^\top = -Z_m \end{array}$$

since $A_m \mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{g}_m^0) \subset \mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{g}_m^0)$. Using $\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{g}_m^0) = \text{span}\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m\}$ we obtain for $X, Y \in \mathfrak{g}_0$

$$A_m[X, Y]_m = \sum_{j=1}^m \langle U_{j-1} X, Y \rangle_0 A_m \alpha_j = \sum_{k,j=1}^m \langle U_{j-1} X, Y \rangle_0 B_j^k \cdot \alpha_k$$

$$\begin{aligned} [A_m X, Y]_m + [X, A_m Y]_m &= [U_m X, Y]_m + [X, U_m Y]_m \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^m (\langle U_{k-1} U_m X, Y \rangle_0 + \langle U_{k-1} X, U_m Y \rangle_0) \alpha_k \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^m \langle [U_{k-1}, U_m] X, Y \rangle_0 \alpha_k \end{aligned}$$

It follows, that for all $k = 1, \dots, m$

$$\sum_{j=1}^m B_j^k \cdot U_{j-1} = [U_{k-1}, U_m]_{\mathfrak{so}(n)}. \quad (23)$$

We know, that $\mathfrak{b} := \text{span}\{U_0, \dots, U_{m-1}\} \subset \mathfrak{so}(n)$ is abelian. By (23) $[U_m, \mathfrak{b}] \subset \mathfrak{b}$. Now Lemma 9.1 implies $[U_m, \mathfrak{b}] = 0$. Since we supposed, that $U_0, \dots, U_{m-1}$ are linearly independent in $\mathfrak{so}(n)$, we obtain $B \equiv 0$ by (23). Therefore, $A_m \mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{g}_m^0) = 0$ and, consequently, $\text{span}\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{m+1}\} \subset \mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{g}_{m+1})$ is a maximal isotropic subspace. $\square$

Remark 9.1 *If $\mathfrak{g}_1 = \mathfrak{d}_{A_0}(\mathfrak{g}_0, \mathbb{R})$, $\mathfrak{g}_2 = \mathfrak{d}_{A_1}(\mathfrak{g}_1, \mathbb{R})$, $\mathfrak{g}_3 = \mathfrak{d}_{A_2}(\mathfrak{g}_2, \mathbb{R})$ is a sequence of indecomposable Lie algebras, then $\mathfrak{g}_1$, $\mathfrak{g}_2$ and $\mathfrak{g}_3$ have a maximal isotropic centre.*

For $\mathfrak{g}_1$ this follows from the definition. For $\mathfrak{g}_2$ and $\mathfrak{g}_3$ this follows from the indecomposability of $\mathfrak{g}_2$. Indeed, if we take $U_1 = \lambda U_0$, then $A_1 = \text{ad}_1(\lambda H_1)$. Therefore A_1 would be an inner derivation and $\mathfrak{g}_2$ decomposable (Proposition 2.1). Hence U_0 and U_1 have to be linearly independent. Consequently, by Proposition 9.3 the centres $\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{g}_2)$ and $\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{g}_3)$ of $\mathfrak{g}_2$ and $\mathfrak{g}_3$, respectively, contain a maximal isotropic subspace. On the other hand, $\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{g}_2)$ and $\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{g}_3)$ are totally isotropic since $\mathfrak{g}_2$ and $\mathfrak{g}_3$ are indecomposable. $\square$

Remark 9.2 *The previous remark shows that a double extension of a 4-dimensional oscillator algebra by an 1-dimensional factor is decomposable. With the same argument one shows that the 6-dimensional group of split signature arising by double extension of $L_2(1, 1)$ is decomposable as well.*

Now, we describe the space of parallel spinors for the solvable metric Lie algebras with maximal isotropic center of the form $\mathfrak{g}_m^0$.

Theorem 9.1 *Let $(\mathfrak{g}_0, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_0)$ be an abelian Euclidean Lie algebra of dimension n and consider $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{d}(\mathfrak{g}_0, A_0^0, \dots, A_{m-1}^0)$ for normal set of derivations $\{A_0^0, \dots, A_{m-1}^0\}$. Then the simply connected Lie group G with Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ has exactly $2^{K+\frac{n}{2}}$ linearly independent parallel spinor fields, where*

$$K = m - \dim(\text{span}(\{U_0, \dots, U_{m-1}\})).$$

Proof. We may assume that all U_k with $U_k \neq 0$ are linearly independent. Hence, $K = \#\{k \mid U_k = 0\}$. The parallel spinor fields correspond to the spinors

$$v = \sum_{\varepsilon=(\varepsilon_m, \dots, \varepsilon_1)} v_\varepsilon \otimes u(\varepsilon_m, \dots, \varepsilon_1) \in \Delta_n \otimes \Delta_{m,m}$$

satisfying

$$\sum_{k=1}^m (U_{k-1} X) \cdot \alpha_k \cdot v = 0$$

for all $X \in \mathfrak{g}_0$. The dimension n of $\mathfrak{g}_0$ is even since U_0 bijective. Let $n = 2N$. Since $\text{span}\{U_0, \dots, U_{m-1}\}$ is abelian there exists a basis $e_1, \dots, e_n$ such that

$$U_k = \begin{pmatrix} \Lambda_1^{(k)} & & 0 \\ & \ddots & \\ 0 & & \Lambda_m^{(k)} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \text{where } \Lambda_r^{(k)} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\lambda_r^{(k)} \\ \lambda_r^{(k)} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

for each $k \in \{0, \dots, m-1\}$. Hence,

$$\sum_{k=1}^m (U_{k-1}X) \cdot \alpha_k \left(\sum_{\varepsilon} v_{\varepsilon} \otimes u(\varepsilon_m, \dots, \varepsilon_1) \right) = 0$$

is satisfied for all $X \in \mathfrak{g}_0$ if and only if

$$\sum_{k=1}^m \sum_{\varepsilon} (-1)^{k-1} (\varepsilon_k + 1) \varepsilon_k \cdot \dots \cdot \varepsilon_m \lambda_j^{(k-1)} X_{2j} v_{\varepsilon} \otimes u(\varepsilon_m, \dots, -\varepsilon_k, \dots, \varepsilon_1) = 0$$

for $j = 1, \dots, N$ and an orthonormal basis $X_1, \dots, X_{2N}$ of $\mathfrak{g}_0$. This is equivalent to

$$\sum_{k=1}^m (-1)^k (+\varepsilon_k + 1) \varepsilon_k \cdot \dots \cdot \varepsilon_m \lambda_j^{(k-1)} v_{(\varepsilon_m, \dots, \varepsilon_k, \dots, \varepsilon_1)} = 0$$

for $j = 1, \dots, N$ and for all ε . Since $\{U_k \mid U_k \neq 0\}$ are linearly independent this is equivalent to

$$U_{k-1} \neq 0, \varepsilon_k = 1 \Rightarrow v_{(\varepsilon_m, \dots, \varepsilon_1)} = 0.$$

Consequently,

$$\dim V_{\mathfrak{hol}(G_m)} = (\dim \Delta_n) \cdot 2^K = 2^{K+\frac{n}{2}}.$$

□

Remark 9.3 *In particular, each generalized oscillator group $\text{Osc}(U_0, \dots, U_{m-1})$ of index m , where $\text{span}\{U_0, \dots, U_{m-1}\} \subset \mathfrak{so}(2l)$ is an m -dimensional abelian subalgebra, has 2^l linearly independent parallel spinors.*

Acknowledgement We wish to thank D. Alekseevski for his helpful comments on the first version of this paper (SFB 288 preprint Nr. 543, 2002). Furthermore, we thank M. Olbrich for valuable discussions and for pointing out an error in a previous version of the manuscript.

References

- [Ast73] V.V. Astrakhantsev. Pseudo-riemannian symmetric spaces with commutative holonomy group. *Mat. Sbornik (russ)*, 90(2):288–305, 1973.
- [Bär93] Ch. Bär. Real Killing spinors and holonomy. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 154:509–521, 1993.
- [Bau89] H. Baum. Complete Riemannian manifolds with imaginary Killing spinors. *Ann. Glob. Anal. Geom.*, 7:205–226, 1989.
- [Bau00] H. Baum. Twistor spinors on Lorentzian symmetric spaces. *Journ. Geom. Phys.*, 34:270–286, 2000.
- [BBI93] L. Berard-Bergery and A. Ikemakhen. On the holonomy of Lorentzian manifolds. *Proc. Symp. Pure Math.*, pages 27–40, 1993.

- [BFGK91] H. Baum, T. Friedrich, R. Grunewald, and I. Kath. *Twistors and Killing Spinors on Riemannian Manifolds*, volume 124 of *Teubner-Texte zur Mathematik*. Teubner-Verlag, Stuttgart/Leipzig, 1991.
- [BFOHP01] M. Blau, J.M. Figueroa-O’Farrill, C. Hull, and G. Papadopoulos. New maximally supersymmetric background of IIB superstring theory. hep-th/0110242, 2001.
- [Bie92] P. Bieliavsky. Extensions de dimension un de l’algebre de Heisenberg et espaces pseudoriemanniens symetrique. *Acad. R. Belg.*, 3(12):299–315, 1992.
- [BK99] H. Baum and I. Kath. Parallel spinors and holonomy groups on pseudo-Riemannian spin manifolds. *Ann. Glob. Anal. Geom.*, 17:1–17, 1999.
- [Boh00] Ch. Bohle. Killing spinors on Lorentzian manifolds. *Journ. Geom. Phys.*, 2000.
- [Bor97] M. Bordemann. Nondegenerate invariant bilinear forms on nonassociative algebras. *Acta Math.Univ. Comenianae*, LXVI(2):151–201, 1997.
- [Bou00] Ch. Boubel. Sur l’holonomie des varietes pseudo-riemanniennes. *These, Univ. Nancy*,, 2000.
- [Bry00] R.L. Bryant. Pseudo–Riemannian metrics with parallel spinor fields and vanishing Ricci tensor, in: Global Analysis and Harmonic Analysis, eds. J.P.Bourguignon, T.Branson, O.Hijazi. *Seminaires et Congres, French Math. Soc.*, 4:53–94, 2000.
- [Buc00a] V. Buchholz. A note on real Killing spinors in Weyl geometry. *Journ. Geom. Phys.*, 35:93–98, 2000.
- [Buc00b] V. Buchholz. Spinor equations in Weyl geometry. *Suppl. di Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo*, Ser.II, Nr.63:63–73, 2000.
- [CP80] M. Cahen and M. Parker. Pseudo-Riemannian symmetric spaces. *Mem. AMS*, 24(229):1–108, 1980.
- [CW70] M. Cahen and N. Wallach. Lorentzian symmetric spaces. *Bull. AMS*, 76(3):585–591, 1970.
- [FI01] T. Friedrich and S. Ivanov. Parallel spinors and connections with skew-symmetric torsion in string theory. math.DG/0102142, 2001.
- [FO99a] J.M. Figueroa-O’Farrill. Breaking the M-waves. hep-th/9904124, 1999.
- [FO99b] J.M. Figueroa-O’Farrill. More Ricci-flat branes. ESI Preprint 769, 1999.
- [FOS95] J.M. Figueroa-O’Farrill and S. Stanciu. On the structure of symmetric self-dual Lie algebras. hep-th/9506152, 1995.
- [FS87] G. Favre and L.J. Santharoubane. Symmetric, invariant, non-degenerate bilinear form on a Lie algebra. *Journ. of Algebra*, 105:451–464, 1987.

- [Ike96] A. Ikemakhen. Examples of indecomposable non-irreducible Lorentzian manifolds. *Ann. Sci. Math. Quebec*, 20(1):53–66, 1996.
- [Ike99] A. Ikemakhen. Sur l’holonomie des varietes pseudo-Riemannian de signature $(2,2+n)$. *Preprint, to appear in Publications Mathematiques*, 1999.
- [Kat99] I. Kath. Killing Spinors on Pseudo-Riemannian Manifolds. Habilitationsschrift Humboldt-Universität Berlin, 1999.
- [Kat00] I. Kath. Parallel Pure Spinors on Pseudo-Riemannian Manifolds. Sfb 288 preprint Nr. 356 (1998) and in: *Topology and Geometry of Submanifolds X*, 2000.
- [KO] I. Kath and M. Obrich. Metric Lie algebras with maximal isotropic center. In preparation.
- [Lei01] Th. Leistner. Lorentzian manifolds with special holonomy and parallel spinors. to appear in *Proceedings of the 21st Winter School on "Geometry and Physics" (Srni 2001)*, *Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo*, 2001.
- [Med85] A. Medina. Groupes de Lie munis de metriques bi-invariantes. *Tohoku Math. Journ.*, 37:405–421, 1985.
- [Mor96] A. Moroianu. Structures de Weyl admettant des spineurs paralleles. *Bull. Soc. Math. France*, 1996.
- [MR85] A. Medina and Ph. Revoy. Algebres de Lie et produit scalaire invariant. *Ann. scient.Ecole Norm. Sup., 4. serie*, 18:553–561, 1985.
- [MS00] A. Moroianu and U. Semmelmann. Parallel Spinors and holonomy groups. *J. Math. Phys.*, 41:2395–2402, 2000.
- [Neu02] Th. Neukirchner. Pseudo-Riemannian symmetric spaces. Diplomarbeit, Humboldt University, Berlin, 2002.
- [Wan89] McKenzie Y. Wang. Parallel spinors and parallel forms. *Ann. Glob. Anal. and Geom.*, 7:59–68, 1989.
- [Wan93] McKenzie Y. Wang. On non-simply connected manifolds with non-trivial parallel spinors. *Ann. Glob. Anal. and Geom.*, 13:31–42, 1993.

Helga Baum, Institut für Mathematik, Humboldt-Universität zu Berlin, Sitz: Rudower Chaussee 25, 10099 Berlin, Germany

email: baum@mathematik.hu-berlin.de

Ines Kath, Max-Planck-Institut für Mathematik, Vivatsgasse 7, 53111 Bonn, Germany

email: kath@mpim-bonn.mpg.de